

Agenda Item #5a

SUBAPPLICATION INFORMATION				
Subapplicant:	Click or tap here to enter text.			
Subapplication Title:	Click or tap here to enter text.			

SCORER INFORMATION please do NOT sign until all questions are answered – Form locks!						
Name:	Click or tap here to enter text.	Title:		Click or tap here to enter text.		
Phone:	Click or tap here to enter text.	Email:		Click or tap here to enter text.		
Signature:	X		Da	te:	Click or tap to enter a date.	

Instructions:

Please answer Questions 2-6, unhighlighted on this form, based on your review of the subapplication package provided for the respective subapplicant. When complete, please sign above.



Agenda Item #5a

	ITEM	SCORE				
1.	Does the proposed activity provide (or plan to provide) direct risk	(This question to be filled by HM staff based on SVI review).			VI review).	
	reduction benefits to	No (0 points) Yes (20 points)			:s)	
	disadvantaged/vulnerable		П		\boxtimes	
	communities and populations?		_		_	
	• •				_	<u> </u>
2.	Does the proposed activity address	Strongly	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly
	climate change adaptation and	Disagree	(O ·)	/= · \	(44 .)	Agree
	resiliency with consideration of	(0 pts)	(2 pts)	(5 pts)	(11 pts)	(15 pts)
	future impacts and risks associated					
	with climate change?					
_			p here to ent		<u> </u>	
3.	Does the proposed activity protect, mit	•	ssess risk to	critical in	ifrastructi	ure,
	utilities and/or repetitive loss structure		· -			
	3.1 Does the proposed activity	Not at All		Partially		Entirely
	protect, mitigate, or assess risk to	(0 pts)	s) (2 pts) (!		(5 pts)	
	critical infrastructure?	Click or tap here to enter text.				
	2.2.Da. a. Illian and and all the					Fartal
	3.2 Does the proposed activity	Not at All		artially		Entirely
	protect, mitigate, or assess risk to	(0 pts)	(2 pts)		(5 pts)	
	utilities?		□ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □			
	2.2 December over and extinity.				0 DI	. 10 DI
	3.3 Does the proposed activity	No (0. reta)	1 RL		0 RL	>10 RL
	protect, mitigate, or assess risk to	(0 pts)	(1 pt)	(3	ots)	(5 pts)
	repetitive loss structures (RL)?	Click or to	n hara ta ant	L	_	Ш
	Doos the proposed activity mitigate	Click or ta	p here to ent	er text.		
4.	Does the proposed activity mitigate		Na (O paint	c) V a	r /E naint	-1
(or plan to mitigate) an imminently			No (0 point	s) fe s	s (5 points	>)
	dangerous problem that would pose		Ш		Ш	
	a significant risk to public health and safety if left unresolved?					



Agenda Item #5a

5. Does the budget include a detailed	Not at All	Partially	Entirely
breakdown of all costs associated	(0 pts)	(1 pt)	(2 pts)
with the proposed activity?			
6. Is the proposed activity timeframe			
clear and realistic, with a breakdown	Not at All	Partially	Entirely
of activities and milestones to	(0 pts)	(1 pt)	(2 pts)
demonstrate the ability to complete			
the work within the established			
timeframe?			
7. Was the proposed mitigation	(This question to be filled by HM staff)		
measure previously approved and			
funded by FEMA and subsequently	No	(0 points) Yes ((5 points)
cancelled? (Unapproved Time Extension			
or Cost Increase)			
8. Was this subapplication previously	(This question to be filled by HM staff)		
submitted to FEMA, deemed eligible,			
yet remains unfunded due to the lack	No	(0 points) Yes ((5 points)
of availability of funding?			



Agenda Item #5a

SUBAPPLICATION SCORING				
Item	Additional Comments	Maximum Score Possible	Total Score	
1		20		
2		15		
3.1		5		
3.2		5		
3.3		5		
	Total:	50		
Additional Scoring				
4		5		
5		2		
6		2		
7		5		
8		5		
	Total:	19		