	INVESTMENT JUSTIFICATION SCORING WORKSHEET	
	State	
	Urban Area	
Name of t	the Nonprofit Organization	
FY 2016 NSGI	P Federal Funding Request	
	Scoring Legend	
Did Not	The applicant provided no response	
Poor	The applicant's response is incomplete and does not address all of the required information	
Partial	The applicant's response is complete but minimally addresses all of the required information	
Adequate	The applicant's response is complete and moderately addresses all of the required information	
Thorough	The applicant's response is complete and fully addresses all of the required information	
1 Did the applic	I. Applicant Information (Unscored) ant provide all of the required information?	
	blicant did not provide all of the required information	
11	blicant did provide all of the required information	
	II. Background (Total of 2 possible points)	
pos • An 0 = The a 1 = The a	mbolic value of the site as a highly recognized national or historical institution that renders the site as a ssible target of terrorism my role in responding to or recovering from terrorist attacks applicant did not provided any of the required information regarding their nonprofit organization applicant provided some of the required information regarding their nonprofit organization applicant provided all of the required information regarding their nonprofit organization	
	III. Risk (Total of 12 possible points)	-
0	g threat, how well did the applicant address findings from previously conducted risk assessments, police	
-	nsurance claims? applicant did not address findings from previously conducted risk assessments, police reports, and/or insurance claim	S
1 = The a	applicant poorly addressed findings from previously conducted risk assessments, police reports, and/or insurance clai	ns
2 = The a claims	applicant partially addressed findings from previously conducted risk assessments, police reports, and/or insurance	
claims	applicant adequately addressed findings from previously conducted risk assessments, police reports, and/or insurance	
claims	applicant thoroughly addressed findings from previously conducted risk assessments, police reports, and/or insurance	;
Vaama		
Score		

FISCAL YEAR (FY) 2016 NONPROFIT SECURITY GRANT PROGRAM (NSGP) INVESTMENT JUSTIFICATION SCORING WORKSHEET	
4. In considering vulnerabilities, how well did the applicant address the organization's susceptibility to destruction, incapacitation, or exploitation by a terrorist attack?	
0 = The applicant did not address the organization's susceptibility to destruction, incapacitation, or exploitation by a terrorist	
attack $1 =$ The applicant poorly addressed the organization's susceptibility to destruction, incapacitation, or exploitation by a terrorist	
attack $2 =$ The applicant partially addressed the organization's susceptibility to destruction, incapacitation, or exploitation by a terrorist	
attack 3 = The applicant adequately addressed the organization's susceptibility to destruction, incapacitation, or exploitation by a	
terrorist attack 4 = The applicant thoroughly addressed the organization's susceptibility to destruction, incapacitation, or exploitation by a terrorist attack	
Score	
5. In considering potential consequences, how well did the applicant address potential negative effects on the organization's asset, system, and/or network if damaged, destroyed, or disrupted by a terrorist attack?	
0 = The applicant did not address potential negative effects on the organization's asset, system, and/or network if damaged, destroyed, or disrupted by a terrorist attack	
1 = The applicant poorly addressed potential negative effects on the organization's asset, system, and/or network if damaged, destroyed, or disrupted by a terrorist attack	
2 = The applicant partially addressed potential negative effects on the organization's asset, system, and/or network if damaged, destroyed, or disrupted by a terrorist attack	
3 = The applicant adequately addressed potential negative effects on the organization's asset, system, and/or network if damaged, destroyed, or disrupted by a terrorist attack	
4 = The applicant thoroughly addressed potential negative effects on the organization's asset, system, and/or network if damaged, destroyed, or disrupted by a terrorist attack	
Score	
IV. Target Hardening (Total of 8 possible points)	
6. Did the applicant describe how the proposed target hardening activity will mitigate the identified risk(s)?	
0 = The applicant did not provide a description of how the proposed target hardening activity will mitigate the identified risk(s)	
1 = The applicant provided a poor description of how the proposed target hardening activity will mitigate the identified risk(s)	
2 = The applicant provided a partial description of how the proposed target hardening activity will mitigate the identified risk(s)	
3 = The applicant provided an adequate description of how the proposed target hardening activity will mitigate the identified risk(s)	
4 = The applicant provided a thorough description of how the proposed target hardening activity will mitigate the identified risk(s)	
Score	
7. Did the applicant's proposed target hardening activity focus on the prevention of and/or protection against the risk of a	
terrorist attack? 0 = The applicant's target hardening activity did not focus on the prevention of and/or protection against the risk of a terrorist	
attack	
1 = The applicant's target hardening activity poorly focused on the prevention of and/or protection against the risk of a terrorist attack	
2 = The applicant's target hardening activity partially focused on the prevention of and/or protection against the risk of a terrorist attack	
3 = The applicant's target hardening activity adequately focused on the prevention of and/or protection against the risk of a terrorist attack	
4 = The applicant's target hardening activity thoroughly focused on the prevention of and/or protection against the risk of a terrorist attack	
Score	

FISCAL YEAR (FY) 2016 NONPROFIT SECURITY GRANT PROGRAM (NSGP) INVESTMENT JUSTIFICATION SCORING WORKSHEET

V. Milestones (Total of 9 possible points)

8. Did the applicant provide specific milestones that present a clear sequence of events that will allow the Investment to reach its objectives during the FY 2016 NSGP period of performance?

0 = No, the applicant did not provide specific milestones that present a clear sequence of events that will allow the Investment to reach its objectives during the FY 2016 NSGP period of performance (please proceed to question 11)

1 = Yes, the applicant did provide specific milestones that present a clear sequence of events that will allow the Investment to reach its objectives during the FY 2016 NSGP period of performance (please proceed to question 9)

9. How well do the milestones collectively present a clear sequence of events that effectively build upon each other and would allow the applicants to reach its intended objectives during the FY 2016 NSGP period of performance?

- 0 = The milestones identified do not present a clear sequence of events that effectively build upon each other and would allow the applicant to reach its intended objectives during the FY 2016 NSGP period of performance
- 1 = The milestones present a poorly defined sequence of events that effectively build upon each other and would allow the applicant to reach its intended objectives during the FY 2016 NSGP period of performance
- 2 = The milestones present a partially defined sequence of events that effectively build upon each other and would allow the applicant to reach its intended objectives during the FY 2016 NSGP period of performance
- 3 = The milestones present an adequately defined sequence of events that effectively build upon each other and would allow the applicant to reach its intended objectives during the FY 2016 NSGP period of performance
- 4 = The milestones present a thoroughly defined sequence of events that effectively build upon each other and would allow the applicant to reach its intended objectives during the FY 2016 NSGP period of performance

Score

10. How well did the applicant describe the milestones as well as associated key activities that lead to the milestone event over the FY 2016 NSGP period of performance?

- 0 = The applicant did not provide a description of milestones and associated activities that lead to the milestone event over the FY 2016 NSGP period of performance
- 1 = The applicant provided a poor description of milestones and associated activities that lead to the milestone event over the FY 2016 NSGP period of performance
- 2 = The applicant provided a partial description of milestones and associated activities that lead to the milestone event over the FY 2016 NSGP period of performance
- 3 = The applicant provided an adequate description of milestones and associated activities that lead to the milestone event over the FY 2016 NSGP period of performance
- 4 = The applicant provided a thorough description of milestones and associated activities that lead to the milestone event over the FY 2016 NSGP period of performance

Score

	FISCAL YEAR (FY) 2016 NONPROFIT SECURITY GRANT PROGRAM (NSGP)
	INVESTMENT JUSTIFICATION SCORING WORKSHEET VI. Project Management (Total of 5 possible points)
11. Has (he applicant described, at high-level, the roles and responsibilities of the management team, governance structures,
	ect matter expertise required in managing the Investment?
0	= No, the applicant did not describe the management team's roles and responsibilities, governance structure, or subject matter
	expertise required to manage the Investment
	= Yes , the applicant did describe the management team's roles and responsibilities, governance structure, and subject matter expertise required to manage the Investment
Score	
	well did the applicant justify the effectiveness of the proposed management team's roles and responsibilities and nee structure to support the implementation of the Investment?
	= The applicant did not justify the effectiveness of the proposed management team's roles and responsibilities and governance structure to support the implementation of the Investment
1	= The applicant poorly justified the effectiveness of the proposed management team's roles and responsibilities and governance structure to support the implementation of the Investment
2	= The applicant partially justified the effectiveness of the proposed management team's roles and responsibilities and governance structure to support the implementation of the Investment
3	= The applicant adequately justified the effectiveness of the proposed management team's roles and responsibilities and governance structure to support the implementation of the Investment
	= The applicant thoroughly justified the effectiveness of the proposed management team's roles and responsibilities and
	governance structure to support the implementation of the Investment
Score	governance structure to support the implementation of the Investment
	governance structure to support the implementation of the Investment
	governance structure to support the implementation of the Investment
	governance structure to support the implementation of the Investment VII. Impact (Total of 4 possible points)
Score 13. Did t	
Score 13. Did t sections, 0	VII. Impact (Total of 4 possible points) WII. Impact (Total of 4 possible points) he applicant provide a brief description of how the outcomes will mitigate risks outlined in the Background and Risk as well as how the investment supports building or sustaining the identified Goal Core Capabilities? = The applicant did not provide a brief description of how the outcomes will mitigate risks outlined in the Background and
Score 13. Did t sections, 0	VII. Impact (Total of 4 possible points) WII. Impact (Total of 4 possible points) he applicant provide a brief description of how the outcomes will mitigate risks outlined in the Background and Risk as well as how the investment supports building or sustaining the identified Goal Core Capabilities?
<i>Score</i> 13. Did t sections, 0 1 2	VII. Impact (Total of 4 possible points) WII. Impact (Total of 4 possible points) he applicant provide a brief description of how the outcomes will mitigate risks outlined in the Background and Risk as well as how the investment supports building or sustaining the identified Goal Core Capabilities? = The applicant did not provide a brief description of how the outcomes will mitigate risks outlined in the Background and Risk sections, as well as how the investment supports building or sustaining the identified Goal Core Capabilities = The applicant poorly provided a brief description of how the outcomes will mitigate risks outlined in the Background and Risk sections, as well as how the investment supports building or sustaining the identified Goal Core Capabilities = The applicant poorly provided a brief description of how the outcomes will mitigate risks outlined in the Background and
Score 13. Did t sections, 0 1 2 3	VII. Impact (Total of 4 possible points) Number of the explicant provide a brief description of how the outcomes will mitigate risks outlined in the Background and Risk as well as how the investment supports building or sustaining the identified Goal Core Capabilities? = The applicant did not provide a brief description of how the outcomes will mitigate risks outlined in the Background and Risk sections, as well as how the investment supports building or sustaining the identified Goal Core Capabilities = The applicant poorly provided a brief description of how the outcomes will mitigate risks outlined in the Background and Risk sections, as well as how the investment supports building or sustaining the identified Goal Core Capabilities = The applicant poorly provided a brief description of how the outcomes will mitigate risks outlined in the Background and Risk sections, as well as how the investment supports building or sustaining the identified Goal Core Capabilities = The applicant poorly provided a brief description of how the outcomes will mitigate risks outlined in the Background and Risk sections, as well as how the investment supports building or sustaining the identified Goal Core Capabilities = The applicant partially provided a brief description of how the outcomes will mitigate risks outlined in the Background and
Score 13. Did t sections, 0 1 2 3 4	VII. Impact (Total of 4 possible points) be applicant provide a brief description of how the outcomes will mitigate risks outlined in the Background and Risk as well as how the investment supports building or sustaining the identified Goal Core Capabilities? = The applicant did not provide a brief description of how the outcomes will mitigate risks outlined in the Background and Risk sections, as well as how the investment supports building or sustaining the identified Goal Core Capabilities = The applicant poorly provided a brief description of how the outcomes will mitigate risks outlined in the Background and Risk sections, as well as how the investment supports building or sustaining the identified Goal Core Capabilities = The applicant poorly provided a brief description of how the outcomes will mitigate risks outlined in the Background and Risk sections, as well as how the investment supports building or sustaining the identified Goal Core Capabilities = The applicant partially provided a brief description of how the outcomes will mitigate risks outlined in the Background and Risk sections, as well as how the investment supports building or sustaining the identified Goal Core Capabilities = The applicant partially provided a brief description of how the outcomes will mitigate risks outlined in the Background and Risk sections, as well as how the investment supports building or sustaining the identified Goal Core Capabilities = The applicant adequately provided a brief description of how the outcomes will mitigate risks outlined in the Background and Risk sections, as well as how the investment supports building or sustaining the identified Goal Core Capabilities = The applicant adequa
Score 13. Did t sections, 0 1 2 3 4	VII. Impact (Total of 4 possible points) be applicant provide a brief description of how the outcomes will mitigate risks outlined in the Background and Risk as well as how the investment supports building or sustaining the identified Goal Core Capabilities? = The applicant did not provide a brief description of how the outcomes will mitigate risks outlined in the Background and Risk sections, as well as how the investment supports building or sustaining the identified Goal Core Capabilities = The applicant poorly provided a brief description of how the outcomes will mitigate risks outlined in the Background and Risk sections, as well as how the investment supports building or sustaining the identified Goal Core Capabilities = The applicant poorly provided a brief description of how the outcomes will mitigate risks outlined in the Background and Risk sections, as well as how the investment supports building or sustaining the identified Goal Core Capabilities = The applicant partially provided a brief description of how the outcomes will mitigate risks outlined in the Background and Risk sections, as well as how the investment supports building or sustaining the identified Goal Core Capabilities = The applicant adequately provided a brief description of how the outcomes will mitigate risks outlined in the Background and Risk sections, as well as how the investment supports building or sustaining the identified Goal Core Capabilities = The applicant adequately provided a brief description of how the outcomes will mitigate risks outlined in the Background and Risk sections, as well as how the investment supports building or sustaining the identified Goal Core Capabilities = The applicant adequ
Score 13. Did t sections, 0 1 2 3 4	VII. Impact (Total of 4 possible points) be applicant provide a brief description of how the outcomes will mitigate risks outlined in the Background and Risk as well as how the investment supports building or sustaining the identified Goal Core Capabilities? = The applicant did not provide a brief description of how the outcomes will mitigate risks outlined in the Background and Risk sections, as well as how the investment supports building or sustaining the identified Goal Core Capabilities = The applicant poorly provided a brief description of how the outcomes will mitigate risks outlined in the Background and Risk sections, as well as how the investment supports building or sustaining the identified Goal Core Capabilities = The applicant poorly provided a brief description of how the outcomes will mitigate risks outlined in the Background and Risk sections, as well as how the investment supports building or sustaining the identified Goal Core Capabilities = The applicant partially provided a brief description of how the outcomes will mitigate risks outlined in the Background and Risk sections, as well as how the investment supports building or sustaining the identified Goal Core Capabilities = The applicant adequately provided a brief description of how the outcomes will mitigate risks outlined in the Background and Risk sections, as well as how the investment supports building or sustaining the identified Goal Core Capabilities = The applicant adequately provided a brief description of how the outcomes will mitigate risks outlined in the Background and Risk sections, as well as how the investment supports building or sustaining the identified Goal Core Capabilities = The applicant adequ
Score 13. Did t sections, 0 1 2 3 4	VII. Impact (Total of 4 possible points) be applicant provide a brief description of how the outcomes will mitigate risks outlined in the Background and Risk as well as how the investment supports building or sustaining the identified Goal Core Capabilities? = The applicant did not provide a brief description of how the outcomes will mitigate risks outlined in the Background and Risk sections, as well as how the investment supports building or sustaining the identified Goal Core Capabilities = The applicant poorly provided a brief description of how the outcomes will mitigate risks outlined in the Background and Risk sections, as well as how the investment supports building or sustaining the identified Goal Core Capabilities = The applicant poorly provided a brief description of how the outcomes will mitigate risks outlined in the Background and Risk sections, as well as how the investment supports building or sustaining the identified Goal Core Capabilities = The applicant partially provided a brief description of how the outcomes will mitigate risks outlined in the Background and Risk sections, as well as how the investment supports building or sustaining the identified Goal Core Capabilities = The applicant adequately provided a brief description of how the outcomes will mitigate risks outlined in the Background and Risk sections, as well as how the investment supports building or sustaining the identified Goal Core Capabilities = The applicant adequately provided a brief description of how the outcomes will mitigate risks outlined in the Background and Risk sections, as well as how the investment supports building or sustaining the identified Goal Core Capabilities = The applicant adequ