The Emergency Management Standard by EMAP

Update to Nevada’s Emergency Management Accreditation Program

--Building safer communities through standards of excellence--
EMAP Overview

- EMAP is an independent non-profit organization
- Fosters excellence and accountability in emergency management and homeland security programs
- Establishes credible standards (from state and local emergency management stakeholders)
- Utilizes a voluntary assessment applied in a peer review accreditation process
- Provides a means for improved strategic improvement
The Emergency Management Standard by EMAP

- Standard language developed by state & local emergency management
- EMAP standards are scalable for program of any size, location, etc.
- Sets out **WHAT** components must be in place in a quality program...does not prescribe **HOW** a program must do it
- At the big picture level, Emergency Management Standard can be viewed as an umbrella or framework standard for building and ensuring a solid emergency management program
Emergency Management Standards
64 Standards in 18 Functional Areas

3.1 Program Administration
3.2 Program Coordination
3.3 Advisory Committee
4.1 Administration & Finance
4.2 Laws & Authorities
4.3 Hazard Identification, Risk Assessment & Consequence Analysis
4.4 Hazard Mitigation
4.5 Prevention
4.6 Operational Planning
4.7 Incident Management
4.8 Resource Management & Logistics
4.9 Mutual Aid
4.10 Communications & Warning
4.11 Operations & Procedures
4.12 Facilities
4.13 Training
4.14 Exercises, Evaluations and Corrective Actions
4.15 Crisis Communications, Public Education and Information
Emergency Management Program Defined

A jurisdiction-wide system that provides for management and coordination of prevention, mitigation, preparedness, response and recovery activities for all hazards.

The system encompasses all organizations, agencies, departments, and individuals having responsibilities for these activities.
EMAP Approach

• EMAP looks at a jurisdiction’s entire program

• Assesses a jurisdiction’s system for dealing with disasters, identifying strengths and areas for improvement
EMAP Approach

• Strengthens the nation’s emergency management system through:
  – Program self assessment
  – Documentation
  – Independent peer review
EMAP 6-Step Process

**STEP 1: Subscription**
Access to 64 EMAP Standards, tools & Training Video Library

**STEP 2: Self Assessment**
Compiling documents, corrective action & strategic planning using Program Assessment Tool

**STEP 3: Application**
Decision to seek accreditation, estimated costs & schedule for assessment

**STEP 4: On-Site Assessment**
Reviews and recommends to EMAP Commission

**STEP 5: Committee Review**
Week long Peer review of compiled documents

**STEP 6: Accreditation Decision**
Grants, denies, or conditionally accredits
## NEVADA Emergency Management Program
### Total EMAP Project Timeline
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Emergency Management Standards
64 Standards in 18 Functional Areas

3.1 Program Administration
3.2 Program Coordination
3.3 Advisory Committee
4.1 Administration & Finance
4.2 Laws & Authorities
4.3 Hazard Identification, Risk Assessment & Consequence Analysis
4.4 Hazard Mitigation
4.5 Prevention
4.6 Operational Planning
4.7 Incident Management
4.8 Resource Management & Logistics
4.9 Mutual Aid
4.10 Communications & Warning
4.11 Operations & Procedures
4.12 Facilities
4.13 Training
4.14 Exercises, Evaluations and Corrective Actions
4.15 Crisis Communications, Public Education and Information
Emergency Management Standard

3.1 Program Administration
3.2 Program Coordination
3.3 Advisory Committee
4.1 Administration & Finance
4.2 Laws & Authorities
4.3 Hazard Identification, Risk Assessment & Consequence Analysis
4.4 Hazard Mitigation
4.5 Prevention
4.6 Operational Planning
4.7 Incident Management

4.8 Resource Management & Logistics
4.9 Mutual Aid
4.10 Communications & Warning
4.11 Operations & Procedures
4.12 Facilities
4.13 Training
4.14 Exercises, Evaluations and Corrective Actions
4.15 Crisis Communications, Public Education and Information
Emergency Management Standard

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Functional Area</th>
<th>Standard Title</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3.1 Program Administration</td>
<td>3.1.1 Exec Policy / Multi Yr Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.1.2 EM Program Eval. &amp; Maint.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.6 Operational Planning</td>
<td>4.6.1 Planning Proc. Address HIRA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.6.2 Plans Address 7 Components</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.6.3 EOP Address 29 Functional Areas</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.6.5 COOP Includes Essential Functions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.6.6 COG Includes Essential Functions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.8 Resource Management &amp; Logistics</td>
<td>4.8.2 Res. Mgmt. Obj. &gt; Gap Analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.8.3 Prioritized Res. Needs / Shortfalls</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.10 Communications &amp; Warning</td>
<td>4.10.2 Comms. System Regular Tests</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.10.4 Notification Systems Reg. Tests</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.10.6 Warning Systems Regular Tests</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.12 Facilities</td>
<td>4.12.1 Primary &amp; Secondary EOC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.12.2 Procedures Tested &gt; Prim. &amp; Sec. EOC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.14 Exercises, Evaluations and Corrective Actions</td>
<td>4.14.3 Corrective Action Process Implemented</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

16 out of 64 = 75% Compliance Rate
Emergency Management Standard

Functional Area

3.1  Program Administration
4.6  Operational Planning
4.8  Resource Management & Logistics
4.10 Communications & Warning
4.12 Facilities
4.14 Exercises, Evaluations and Corrective Actions

Standard Title

3.1.1  Exec Policy / Multi Yr Plan
3.1.2  EM Program Eval. & Maint.
4.6.1  Planning Proc. Address HIRA
4.6.2  Plans Address 7 Components
4.6.3  EOP Address 29 Functional Areas
4.6.5  COOP Includes Essential Functions
4.6.6  COG Includes Essential Functions
4.8.2  Res. Mgmt. Obj. > Gap Analysis
4.8.3  Prioritized Res. Needs / Shortfalls
4.10.2  Comms. System Regular Tests
4.10.4  Notification Systems Reg. Tests
4.10.6  Warning Systems Regular Tests
4.12.1  Primary & Secondary EOC
4.12.2  Procedures Tested > Prim. & Sec. EOC
4.14.3  Corrective Action Process Implemented

8 out of 64 = 87.5% Compliance Rate
Who’s Accredited

Who is Accredited?

- Virgin Islands & Puerto Rico
- Guam
- Northern Mariana Islands
- American Samoa

LEGEND
- Accredited State
- Accredited Jurisdiction
- Conditionally Accredited State
- Conditionally Accredited Jurisdiction
- Not Accredited
Benefits of the EMAP Program

Results Since Beginning the EMAP Process:

– Increased number of written SOPs
– Increased routine procedures being “codified”
– Increased stakeholder involvement
– Increased documentation of processes
– Increased teamwork
– Increased level of professionalism and pride
– Increased overall preparedness
Benefits of the EMAP Program

Local Programs in the Process or Considering EMAP Accreditation:

– Clark County
– Nye County
– Washoe County
– City of Henderson
– City of Las Vegas
Questions, Concerns or Issues?

EMAP Manager - Bud Marshall
215 E. Bonanza Road
Las Vegas, NV 89101
Ph: (702) 486-4328
C: (702) 239-8315
bmarshall@dps.state.nv.us