The Emergency Management Standard by EMAP

Update to Nevada's Emergency Management Accreditation Program




--Building safer communities through standards of excellence--

EMAP Overview

- EMAP is an independent non-profit organization
- Fosters excellence and accountability in emergency management and homeland security programs
- Establishes credible standards (from state and local emergency management stakeholders)
- Utilizes a voluntary assessment applied in a peer review accreditation process
- Provides a means for improved strategic improvement

The Emergency Management Standard by EMAP

- Standard language developed by state & local emergency management
- EMAP standards are scalable for program of any size, location, etc.
- Sets out WHAT components must be in place in a quality program...does not prescribe HOW a program must do it
- At the big picture level, Emergency Management Standard can be viewed as an umbrella or framework standard for building and ensuring a solid emergency management program



Emergency Management Standards

64 Standards in 18 Functional Areas

3.1 3.2	Program Administration Program Coordination	4.8	Resource Management & Logistics
3.3	Advisory Committee	4.9	Mutual Aid
4.1	Administration & Finance	4.10	Communications & Warning
4.2	Laws & Authorities	4.11	Operations & Procedures
4.3	Hazard Identification,	4.12	Facilities
	Risk Assessment &	4.13	Training
	Consequence Analysis	4.14	Exercises, Evaluations
4.4	Hazard Mitigation		and Corrective Actions
4.5	Prevention	4.15	Crisis Communications,
4.6	Operational Planning		Public Education and '
4.7	Incident Management		Information

Emergency Management Program Defined


A <u>jurisdiction-wide system</u> that provides for **management and coordination** of <u>prevention</u>, <u>mitigation</u>, <u>preparedness</u>, <u>response</u> and <u>recovery</u> activities for all hazards.

The system encompasses all organizations, agencies, departments, and individuals having responsibilities for these activities.

EMAP Approach

EMAP looks at a jurisdiction's entire program

 Assesses a jurisdiction's system for dealing with disasters, identifying strengths and areas for improvement



EMAP Approach

- Strengthens the nation's emergency management system through:
 - Program self assessment
 - Documentation
 - Independent peer review

EMAP 6-Step Process

STEP 1: Subscription

Access to 64
EMAP Standards,
tools & Training
Video Library

STEP 2: Self Assessment

Compiling documents, corrective action & strategic planning using Program
Assessment Tool

STEP 3: Application

Decision to seek accreditation, estimated costs & schedule for assessment



STEP 6: Accreditation Decision

Grants, denies, or conditionally accredits

STEP 5: Committee Review

Reviews and recommends to EMAP
Commission

STEP 4: On-Site Assessment

Week long Peer review of compiled documents

NEVADA Emergency Management Program
Total EMAP Project Timeline

We are

2012 2014 2013 Here May Aug | Sep Oct Nov Dec Jan | Feb | Mar Apr | May Jul Aug Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec Jan Feb | Mar Kick Off Meeting Phase I: Project Base Phase II: Self Assessment Phase III: Program Documentation On-site Assessment **Corrective Action Process** Report Recommendation (Cond'I) **Commission Decision** Re-assessment Report Recommendation (Full) **Commission Decision**



Emergency Management Standards

64 Standards in 18 Functional Areas

3.1	Program Administration	4.8	Resource Management & Logistics
3.2	Program Coordination	4.9	Mutual Aid
3.3	Advisory Committee		
4.1	Administration & Finance	4.10	Communications & Warning
4.2	Laws & Authorities	4.11	Operations & Procedures
4.3	Hazard Identification,	4.12	Facilities
	Risk Assessment &	4.13	Training
	Consequence Analysis	4.14	Exercises, Evaluations
4.4	Hazard Mitigation	and	Corrective Actions
4.5	Prevention	4.15	Crisis Communications,
4.6	Operational Planning		Public Education and
4.7	Incident Management		Information

Emergency Management Standard

3.1 3.2	Program Administration Program Coordination	4.8	Resource Management & Logistics
3.3	Advisory Committee	4.9	Mutual Aid
4.1	Administration & Finance	4.10	Communications & Warning
4.2	Laws & Authorities	4.11	Operations & Procedures
4.3	Hazard Identification,	4.12	Facilities
	Risk Assessment &	4.13	Training
	Consequence Analysis	4.14	Exercises, Evaluations
4.4	Hazard Mitigation		and Corrective Actions
4.5	Prevention	4.15	Crisis Communications,
4.6	Operational Planning		Public Education and
4.7	Incident Management		Information

Emergency Management Standard

	Functional Area		Standard Title
3.1	Program Administration	3.1.1	Exec Policy / Multi Yr Plan
4.6	Operational Planning	3.1.2	EM Program Eval. & Maint.
4.8	Resource Management & Logistics	4.6.1	Planning Proc. Address HIRA
		4.6.2	Plans Address 7 Components
4.10	Communications & Warning	4.6.3	EOP Address 29 Functional Areas
		4.6.5	COOP Includes Essential Functions
4.12	Facilities	4.6.6	COG Includes Essential Functions
4.14	Exercises, Evaluations and Corrective Actions	4.8.2	Res. Mgmt. Objs. > Gap Analysis
		4.8.3	Prioritized Res. Needs / Shortfalls
		4.8.4	Res Mgmt Proc. Before/During Em.
		4.10.2	Comms. System Regular Tests
		4.10.4	Notification Systems Reg. Tests
		4.10.6	Warning Systems Regular Tests
		4.12.1	Primary & Secondary EOC
16		4.12.2	Procedures Tested > Prim. & Sec. EOC

Corrective Action Process

Implemented

16 out of 64 = 75% Compliance Rate


Emergency Management Standard

	Functional Area
3.1	Program Administration
4.6	Operational Planning
4.8	Resource Management & Logistics
4.10	— Communications & Warning
4.12	Facilities
4.14	Exercises, Evaluations

8 out of 64 = 87.5% Compliance Rate

Standard Title Exec Policy / Multi Yr Plan 3.1.2 **EM Program Eval. & Maint.** 4.6.1 **Planning Proc. Address HIRA** 4.6.2 **Plans Address 7 Components** 4.6.3 **EOP Address 29 Functional Areas** 4.6.5 **COOP Includes Essential Functions** 4.6.6 **COG Includes Essential Functions** 4.8.2 **Res. Mgmt. Objs. > Gap Analysis** 4.8.3 **Prioritized Res. Needs / Shortfalls** 4.8.4 Res Mgmt Proc. Before/During Em. Comms. System Regular Tests 4.10.2 **Notification Systems Reg. Tests Warning Systems Regular Tests** 4.10.6 4.12.1 **Primary & Secondary EOC Procedures Tested > Prim. & Sec. EOC** 4.12.2 Corrective Action Process **Implemented**

Who's Accredited



Benefits of the EMAP Program

Results Since Beginning the EMAP Process:

- Increased number of written SOPs
- Increased routine procedures being "codified"
- Increased stakeholder involvement
- Increased documentation of processes
- Increased teamwork
- Increased level of professionalism and pride
- Increased overall preparedness





Benefits of the EMAP Program

Local Programs in the Process or Considering EMAP Accreditation:

- Clark County
- Nye County
- Washoe County
- City of Henderson
- City of Las Vegas





Questions, Concerns or Issues?

EMAP Manager - Bud Marshall

215 E. Bonanza Road

Las Vegas, NV 89101

Ph: (702) 486-4328

C: (702) 239-8315

bmarshall@dps.state.nv.us