



STATE OF NEVADA MEETING NOTICE AND AGENDA NEVADA COMMISSION ON HOMELAND SECURITY

Name of Organization: Nevada Commission on Homeland Security

Date and Time of Meeting: March 30, 2021 – 10:00 a.m.

Place of Meeting: There will be no physical location for this meeting. The meeting can be listened to, or viewed live, over the Internet through the Nevada Division of Emergency Management YouTube Channel at:
<https://www.youtube.com/channel/UCFGa6exzrZdlgA6PP55kfqq>

Conference Line: Conference line #: (669) 219-2599
Meeting ID# 405 396 0059
When prompted for Participant ID, please press #

Current Voting Membership	
Name	Title/Organization
Steve Sisolak	Governor, State of Nevada – Commission Chair
Joseph Lombardo	Sheriff, Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department – Commission Vice Chair
Darin Balaam	Sheriff, Washoe County Sheriff's Office
Lisa Christensen	Police Officer, Washoe Tribe of Nevada/California
Todd Fasulo	Vice President, Security and Crisis Management, Wynn Resorts
Mitchell Fox	President and Chief Executive Officer, Nevada Broadcasters Association
Frank Gonzales	General (Ret.), Nevada National Guard, State Director, Nevada Selective Service
Ikram Khan, M.D.	President, Quality Care Consultants
Kate Marshall	Lieutenant Governor, State of Nevada
Charles Moore	Fire Chief, Truckee Meadows Fire Protection District
Richard Perkins	President, The Perkins Company
John Steinbeck	Fire Chief, Clark County Fire Department
Rosemary Vassiliadis	Director of Aviation, Clark County, McCarran International Airport
Patricia Wade	President, Wade Development
Bill Welch	President and Chief Executive Officer, Nevada Hospital Association
Current Non-Voting Membership	
Name	Title/Organization
Karen Burke	Federal Security Director, Transportation Safety Administration
Gonzalo Cordova	Protective Security Advisor, Department of Homeland Security Cybersecurity and Infrastructure Security Agency
Christopher Ipsen	(Ret.) Assistant Vice President of Technology, Chief Information Officer, Desert Research Institute
David Fogerson	Chief, Nevada Division of Emergency Management and Homeland Security
William McCurdy II	Assemblyman, Nevada Assembly
Shaun Rahmeyer	Administrator, Nevada Office of Cyber Defense Coordination
Aaron Rouse	Special Agent in Charge, Nevada, Federal Bureau of Investigation



STATE OF NEVADA MEETING NOTICE AND AGENDA NEVADA COMMISSION ON HOMELAND SECURITY

- Name of Organization:** Nevada Commission on Homeland Security
- Date and Time of Meeting:** March 30, 2021 – 10:00 a.m.
- Place of Meeting:** There will be no physical location for this meeting. The meeting can be listened to, or viewed live, over the Internet through the Nevada Division of Emergency Management YouTube Channel at:
<https://www.youtube.com/channel/UCFGa6exzrZdlgA6PP55kfqq>
- Conference Line:** Conference line #: (669) 219-2599
Meeting ID# 405 396 0059
When prompted for Participant ID, please press #

Pursuant to Section 1 of the Declaration of Emergency Directive 006 signed March 22, 2020, as extended by Declaration of Emergency Directive 029, signed July 31, 2020, the requirement contained in Nevada Revised Statutes (NRS) 241.023(1)(b) that there be a physical location designated for meetings of public bodies where members of the public are permitted to attend and participate is suspended due to the COVID-19 emergency. Please see Attachment A. This meeting will be video and or teleconferenced beginning at 10:00 a.m.

Please Note: Witnesses wishing to have their complete testimony and/or handouts included in the permanent record of this meeting should provide a written or electronic copy to the Nevada Commission on Homeland Security (Commission) administrative support staff. Minutes of the meeting are produced in a summary format and are not verbatim.

- 1. Call to Order and Roll Call** – (Discussion Only) – Chair, Governor Steve Sisolak.
- 2. Public Comment** – (Discussion Only) – No action may be taken upon a matter raised under this item of the agenda until the matter itself has been specifically included on an agenda as an item upon which action may be taken. Public comments may be limited to three minutes per person at the discretion of the Chair. Comments will not be restricted based on viewpoint.

Because there is no physical location for this meeting, public testimony under this agenda item may be presented by phone.

To provide testimony during this period of public comment, please call in any time after 9:30 a.m. on the day of the meeting by dialing (669) 219-2599. When prompted to provide the Meeting ID, please enter 405 396 0059 and then press #. When prompted for a Participant ID, please press #. When asked to provide public comment, please press *6 to unmute your phone and *6 again when your comments are complete.

Please be advised that the YouTube stream will be between 60-90 seconds behind the live meeting. If you would like to present public comment, please call in using the above number to hear the meeting live.

3. **Approval of Minutes** – (Discussion/For Possible Action) – Chair, Governor Steve Sisolak. The Commission will discuss whether to approve the minutes of the December 23, 2020, Commission meeting.
4. **Report on the Statewide Adoption of the National Incident Management System** – (Discussion Only) – Chief David Fogerson, Division of Emergency Management and Homeland Security. The Commission will be briefed on the quarterly report on the statewide adoption of, and compliance with, the National Incident Management System, as required by Nevada Revised Statutes (NRS) 239C.310.
5. **Update on the Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2021 Homeland Security Grant Program (HSGP) Process** – (Discussion Only) – Chief David Fogerson, State Administrative Agent (SAA) and Deputy Chief Billy Samuels, Urban Area Administrator (UAA). The Commission will be provided an update on the status of the FFY 2021 HSGP process to include HSGP timelines, release of the 2021 Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO), Metropolitan Statistical Analysis (MSA) rankings, meeting timelines, reporting requirements, and potential deliverables moving forward from the SAA and UAA, Nevada Resilience Advisory Committee, Committee on Finance, and the Commission.
6. **Recommendation on the Amendment of Strategic Capacities to be Maintained (SCTBM) for the Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2021 Homeland Security Grant Program (HSGP)** – (Discussion/For Possible Action) – Chair, David Fogerson. The Commission will review the SCTBM for FFY 2021 as approved during the December 23, 2020, Commission meeting that have been recommended for revision at the Committee on Finance meeting held March 10, 2021, and at the Nevada Resilience Advisory Committee (NRAC) meeting held March 23, 2021. The Commission may vote to approve or amend the updated recommendations pertaining to the SCTBM for FFY 2021 and push forth a recommendation to the SAA and UAA for review and approval for use in the FFY 2021 HSGP process.
7. **Public Comment** – (Discussion Only) – No action may be taken upon a matter raised under this item of the agenda until the matter itself has been specifically included on an agenda as an item upon which action may be taken. Public comments may be limited to three minutes per person at the discretion of the Chair. Comments will not be restricted based on viewpoint.

Because there is no physical location for this meeting, public testimony under this agenda item may be presented by phone.

To provide testimony during this period of public comment, please call in any time after 9:30 a.m. on the day of the meeting by dialing (669) 219-2599. When prompted to provide the Meeting ID, please enter 405 396 0059 and then press #. When prompted for a Participant ID, please press #. When asked to provide public comment, please press *6 to unmute your phone and *6 again when your comments are complete.

Please be advised that the YouTube stream will be between 60-90 seconds behind the live meeting. If you would like to present public comment, please call in using the above number to hear the meeting live.

8. Adjourn – (Discussion/For Possible Action)

This is a public meeting. In conformance with the Nevada Public Meeting Law, and pursuant to Sections 3 and 4 of the Declaration of Emergency Directive 006 signed March 22, 2020, as extended by Declaration of Emergency Directive 029, signed July 31, 2020, this agenda was posted or caused to be posted on or before 9:00 a.m. on March 25, 2021, at the following:

- Nevada Department of Public Safety's Division of Emergency Management and Homeland Security Public Meeting Notifications/Information Website:
https://dem.nv.gov/DEM/2021_NEVADA_COMMISSION_ON_HOMELAND_SECURITY/
- Nevada Public Notice Website:
www.notice.nv.gov

We are pleased to make reasonable accommodations for members of the public who are disabled. If special arrangements for the meeting are necessary, or if you need to obtain meeting materials, please notify Karen Hall, Commission support staff, Division of Emergency Management and Homeland Security, 2478 Fairview Drive, Carson City, Nevada 89701 or (775) 687-0300. 24-hour advance notice is requested. Thank you.



DECLARATION OF EMERGENCY

DIRECTIVE 029

WHEREAS, in late 2019, the United States Centers for Disease Control and Prevention began monitoring an outbreak of respiratory illness caused by a novel coronavirus first identified in Wuhan, Hubei Province, China; and

WHEREAS, on February 11, 2020, the International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses named this novel coronavirus "severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2);" and

WHEREAS, on February 11, 2020, the World Health Organization named the disease caused by SARS-CoV-2, "COVID-19;" and

WHEREAS, the World Health Organization advises that the novel coronavirus that causes COVID-19 virus is highly contagious, and spreads through respiratory transmission, and direct and indirect contact with infected persons and surfaces; and

WHEREAS, the World Health Organization advises that respiratory transmission occurs through both droplet and airborne transmission, where droplet transmission occurs when a person is within 6 feet of someone who has respiratory symptoms like coughing or sneezing, and airborne transmission may occur when aerosolized particles remain suspended in the air and is inhaled; and

WHEREAS, the World Health Organization advises that contact transmission occurs by direct contact with infected people or indirect contact with surfaces contaminated by the novel coronavirus; and

WHEREAS, some persons with COVID-19 may exhibit no symptoms but remain highly infectious; and

WHEREAS, on March 5, 2020, Clark County and Washoe County both reported the first known cases of COVID-19 in the State of Nevada; and

WHEREAS, on March 11, 2020, the World Health Organization declared COVID-19 a pandemic; and

WHEREAS, on March 12, 2020, I, Steve Sisolak, Governor of the State of Nevada issued a Declaration of Emergency to facilitate the State's response to the COVID-19 pandemic; and

WHEREAS, on March 13, 2020, Donald J. Trump, President of the United States declared a nationwide emergency pursuant to Sec. 501(b) of the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act, 42 U.S.C. 5121-5207 (the “Stafford Act”); and

WHEREAS, on March 14, 2020, I formed a medical advisory team to provide medical guidance and scientifically based recommendations on measures Nevada could implement to better contain and mitigate the spread of COVID-19; and

WHEREAS, infectious disease and public health experts advised that minimizing interpersonal contact slows the rate at which the disease spreads, and is necessary to avoid overwhelming healthcare systems, commonly referred to as “flattening the curve”; and

WHEREAS, since the March 12, 2020 Declaration of Emergency, I have issued 28 Directives pursuant to that order to provide for the safety, wellbeing, and public health of Nevadans and the administration of the State of Nevada; and

WHEREAS, these Directives were promulgated to reduce interpersonal contact and promote social distancing to flatten the curve; and

WHEREAS, on April 30, 2020, I introduced the *Nevada United: Roadmap to Recovery* plan that outlined a phased approach to reopening Nevada businesses and industry; and

WHEREAS, the *Nevada United: Roadmap to Recovery* plan set forth a collaborative partnership between state and local governments that included the formation of the Local Empowerment Advisory Panel (“LEAP”) to serve as a resource to local governments and local communities; and

WHEREAS, on May 9, 2020, the State of Nevada entered Phase One of the *Nevada United: Roadmap to Recovery* plan; and

WHEREAS, on May 29, 2020, the State of Nevada entered Phase Two of the *Nevada United: Roadmap to Recovery* plan; and

WHEREAS, prior to entering Phase Two, Nevada experienced a consistent and sustainable downward trajectory in the percentage of positive COVID-19 cases, a decrease in the trend of COVID-19 hospitalizations, and a decline in our cumulative test positivity rate from a maximum rate of 12.2% on April 24, 2020 to 6.3% on May 27, 2020 with a 33-day downward trend; and

WHEREAS, the intensity of COVID-19 infections in Nevada is exceedingly fluid. As of July 28, 2020, the State of Nevada has experienced over fifty days of an increasing trend of hospitalizations for confirmed COVID-19 cases; and

WHEREAS, as of July 28, 2020, the State of Nevada has experienced forty days of an increasing trend in its cumulative test positivity rate of COVID-19 cases; and

WHEREAS, as of July 28, 2020, there is no cure or vaccine for the COVID-19 disease; and

WHEREAS, because the virus causing COVID-19 is novel, scientific and medical treatment knowledge about the virus and how to limit its spread is improving regularly; and

WHEREAS, NRS 414.060 outlines powers and duties delegated to the Governor during the existence of a state of emergency, including without limitation, directing and controlling the conduct of the general public and the movement and cessation of movement of pedestrians and vehicular traffic during, before and after exercises or an emergency or disaster, public meetings or gatherings; and

WHEREAS, NRS 414.060(3)(f) provides that the administrative authority vested to the Governor in times of emergency may be delegated; and

WHEREAS, Article 5, Section 1 of the Nevada Constitution provides: “The supreme executive power of this State, shall be vested in a Chief Magistrate who shall be Governor of the State of Nevada;” and

NOW, THEREFORE, by the authority vested in me as Governor by the Constitution and the laws of the State of Nevada and the United States, and pursuant to the March 12, 2020, Emergency Declaration,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

SECTION 1: To the extent this Directive conflicts with earlier Directives or regulations promulgated pursuant to the March 12, 2020 Declaration of Emergency, the provisions of this Directive shall prevail.

SECTION 2: The *Nevada United: Roadmap to Recovery* plan is hereby reaffirmed. This plan provides for a federally supported, state managed, and locally executed reopening approach, under which county governments and local municipalities are delegated the authority to impose additional COVID-19-related restrictions on businesses and public activities. Restrictions imposed by county government or local municipalities may exceed the standards imposed by Declaration of Emergency Directives and any State or federal guidelines to the extent reasonable, or set forth under the LEAP guidelines, but in no case shall such local guidelines be more permissive than the provisions of this Directive and the disease management plans it authorizes.

SECTION 3: The importance of the State’s county and city governments committing significant energy, resources, and time to enforcing the provisions of this and previous directives and in mandating conformance with health and safety standards central to the continuing fight against COVID-19 is reaffirmed here in the strongest possible form. Local governments and individuals, businesses, and other organizations may adopt practices that exceed the standards imposed by Declaration of Emergency Directives and the disease management plans they authorize, guidelines promulgated by the Nevada State Occupational Safety and Health Administration (NV OSHA) or LEAP guidelines, but in no case shall business practices be more permissive than the provisions of this Directive, its authorized disease management plans, or those imposed by NV OSHA and the LEAP.

SECTION 4: All directives promulgated pursuant to the March 12, 2020 Declaration of Emergency or subsections thereof set to expire on July 31, 2020, shall remain in effect for the duration of the current state of emergency, unless terminated prior to that date by a subsequent directive or by operation of law associated with lifting the Declaration of Emergency. Of note, Phase Two of the *Nevada United: Roadmap to Recovery* remains in effect. Directive 026, Section 8, extending Directive 021, Phase Two of the *Nevada United: Roadmap to Recovery* plan, is hereby extended until the earlier of termination of these provisions by a subsequent directive or termination of the March 12, 2020 Declaration of Emergency to facilitate the State’s response to the COVID-19 pandemic.

SECTION 5: The provisions of Section 4 do not extend to the recommencement of certain actions or directives, including Directive 025, previously terminated by express or implied order, or previously allowed to expire by operation of law.


SECTION 6: Pursuant to NRS 414.060(3)(f), I hereby authorize and renew my call to all local, city, and county governments, and state agencies to enforce this Directive and regulations promulgated thereunder, including but not limited to, suspending licenses, revoking licenses, or issuing penalties for violating business, professional, liquor, tobacco, or gaming licenses issued by the local jurisdiction for actions that jeopardize the health, safety, or welfare of the public; conduct which may injuriously affect the public health, safety, or welfare; conduct that may be detrimental to the public peace, health, or morals; or any other applicable ordinance or requirement for such a license.

SECTION 7: The State of Nevada shall retain all authority vested in the Governor pursuant to NRS Chapter 414.


SECTION 8: This Directive is effective at 11:59 p.m. on Friday, July 31, 2020 and shall remain in effect until terminated by a subsequent directive promulgated pursuant to the March 12, 2020 Declaration of Emergency, or dissolution or lifting of the Declaration of Emergency itself, to facilitate the State's response to the COVID-19 pandemic.




IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and caused the Great Seal of the State of Nevada to be affixed at the State Capitol in Carson City, this 31st day of July, in the year two thousand twenty.



Governor of the State of Nevada



Secretary of State



Deputy Secretary of State

DRAFT MINUTES – FOR REVIEW AT THE MARCH 30, 2021 NCHS MEETING



**Meeting Minutes
Nevada Commission on Homeland Security**

Attendance	Date	December 23, 2020	
	Time	10:00 a.m.	
	Venue	Teleconference – 888-273-3658; Access: 1453277	
	Method	Teleconference	
	Recorder	Karen Hall	
Commission Members	Attendance Status	Legislative, Ex-Officio, Nonvoting Members, Staff, and Others	Attendance Status
Governor Steve Sisolak - Chair	X	Karen Burke	X
Joseph Lombardo – Vice Chair	X	Gonzalo Cordova	X
Darin Balaam	Abs	David Fogerson	X
Lisa Christensen	Abs	Chris Ipsen	X
Todd Fasulo	X	William McCurdy II	X
Mitch Fox	X	Shaun Rahmeyer	X
Frank Gonzales	X	Aaron Rouse	X
Ikram Khan	X		
Kate Marshall	X		
Charles Moore	X	Samantha Ladich - DAG	X
Richard Perkins	X	Karen Hall – DEM/HS	X
John Steinbeck	X		
Rosemary Vassiliadis	X		
Patricia Wade	X		
Bill Welch	X		

1. Call to Order and Roll Call

Governor Sisolak, Chair of the Nevada Commission on Homeland Security (Commission), called the meeting to order. Karen Hall, Nevada Division of Emergency Management and Homeland Security (DEM/HS) performed roll call. Quorum was established for the meeting.

2. Public Comment

Governor Sisolak opened discussion for the first public comment period. No written comment or public comment was presented.

3. Approval of Minutes

Governor Sisolak called for a motion to amend or approve the draft minutes as presented from the September 15, 2020, Commission meeting. Dr. Ikram Khan, Quality Care Consultants, motioned to approve the minutes as presented with no changes. No discussion was presented on the motion. All were in favor with no opposition. Motion passed unanimously.

4. Report on the Statewide Adoption of the National Incident Management System

Chief David Fogerson, State Administrative Agent (SAA), DEM/HS, provided the Commission on this quarterly report mandated by Nevada Revised Statutes (NRS) 239C. In Quarters Two and Three in 2020, National Incident Management System (NIMS) training efforts were paused due to COVID-19 restrictions and response activities. In Quarter Four, limited training classes for mission critical topics were resumed in northern and southern Nevada. There was tremendous use of NIMS throughout statewide jurisdictions during this pandemic, and efforts to increase training will resume in 2021 to adhere to grant compliance requirements.

5. Brief on the Annual Selection of the Committee on Finance Chair and Vice-Chair

The Committee on Finance (Committee) Chair, Sheriff Joseph Lombardo, Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department (LVMPD) briefed the Commission on the new selection of the Committee Chair and Vice-Chair positions determined at the December 17, 2020, Committee meeting pursuant to Nevada Revised Statutes (NRS) 239C.170(1). Sheriff Lombardo and Chief Deputy Greg Herrera were unanimously selected to represent the Chair and Vice-Chair positions, respectively.

6. Request to Reallocate Homeland Security Grant Program (HSGP) Funds

Chief Fogerson presented the request for Project Change Request (PCR) NCHS-166 from the State Homeland Security Program (SHSP). NCHS-166 for the LVMPD Fusion Center FFY 2018 Sustainment Project is requesting to move \$113,269.84 in remaining funding, due to cost savings from the Planning and Organization categories to the Equipment category for Fusion Watch computer hardware in the amount of \$100,115.62, Contract Cyber Analysis in the amount of \$12,750.00, and Utilities in the amount of \$404.22. Total Request is \$113,269.84. Chief Fogerson indicated that this request was brought before the Nevada Resilience Advisory Committee (NRAC) and the Committee on Finance for review and recommendation approval prior to presenting it to the Commission.

Governor Sisolak called for any questions on this request, and no questions were presented. The Governor called for a motion to approve the request. Chief John Steinbeck, Clark County Fire Department, motioned to approve NCHS-166 as presented. No discussion was presented on the motion. All were in favor with no opposition. Motion passed unanimously.

7. Update on the Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2021 Homeland Security Grant Program (HSGP) Process

Chief Fogerson spoke to looking forward to the FFY 2021 HSGP process, and to date, there is no intelligence for the federal release of the Notice of Funding or the funding which may be allocated to the State Homeland Security Program (SHSP) or Urban Area Security Initiative (UASI). Both the NRAC and Committee on Finance have also been apprised of the current situation as DEM/HS continues to work with federal partners to gain insight on the upcoming process, and to prepare statewide partners and jurisdictions for the upcoming process. Deputy Chief Billy Samuels, Urban Area Administrator (UAA), Clark County Fire Department, had nothing further to add to this update.

8. Review of the Recommendation to Approve Strategic Capacities to be Maintained for the Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2021 Homeland Security Grant Program (HSGP)

Chief Fogerson spoke to the process of developing the Strategic Capacities to be Maintained (SCTBM) for the FFY 2021 HSGP process. Chief Fogerson referred to agenda handouts including existing SCTBM from 2020, Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) Core Capabilities, a Gap Analysis DEM/HS completed for the state, and the SCTBM recommendations reviewed by both the NRAC and Committee on Finance which are presented for approval by the Commission for FFY 2021. Looking at the current SCTBM list and current gaps, it is important to make sure programs are identified to fund; however, it is important to also open the process to other programs with gaps. These gaps may or may not be addressed with HSGP funding, but they need to be identified so an appropriate funding source can be found to support such programs. There are two SCTBM added in FFY 2021 because of identified gaps in 2020. This includes Election Security, and Public Health, Healthcare, and Emergency Medical Services. These emerging SCTBM as listed do not mean funding has to be applied this year; however, it is a road map to the future.

Chief Samuels spoke to the amount of time spent on building out these capabilities, and all were in support of the recommendation now before the Commission. Chief Steinbeck commended the work done on the SCTBM and agreed on the suggested new priorities. Chief Steinbeck presented concern on the potential dilution of the original intent of the SCTBM. The original intent was to identify programs that have significant investment such as Southern Nevada Counter Terrorism Center (SNCTC) and Citizen Corps/Community Emergency Response Team (CERT) programs. If there was a gap in funding, it would significantly hurt these programs. Chief Steinbeck also is concerned this is going away from that original intent; however, many core capabilities and gaps can be considered. Moving programs and projects from the competitive category to the prioritized maintenance category could present issues.

Chief Fogerson concurred with Chief Steinbeck's assessment, and spoke to the specific programs noted for sustainment due to the heavy investment in those specific programs. In looking at the FEMA process, the areas of Election Security and Public Health, Healthcare, and Emergency Medical Services could still go through the competitive process and the decision to fund or not fund could be made at that time. There are still some concerns with Election Security which is addressed previously in the Cybersecurity SCTBM and a requirement to expend funds from the FFY 2020 HSGP Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO). The Public Health component needs to be tied in because Public and Behavioral Health has a special program called Public Health Preparedness that gets additional funding. The question is how to frame the discussion on having gone through the pandemic and identifying a huge gap that may exist on the HSGP side. The intention is to see if there are funding opportunities to appropriately address this gap. Chief Steinbeck agreed as long as there is delineation between new projects trying to invest or tie into HSGP funding or from other sources. Chief Steinbeck agreed that the NRAC and Commission should be involved in setting those priorities to get a holistic view. These programs may eventually move into the maintained category once established.

DRAFT MINUTES – FOR REVIEW AT THE MARCH 30, 2021 NCHS MEETING

Sheriff Lombardo wanted to ensure that the Planning SCTBM was included in the recommended SCTBM for FFY21. This does not ensure funding for the SCTBM listed, only a prioritization for the funding received.

Richard Perkins, the Perkins Group, spoke to the growth of maintained projects over the past decade, and the necessity to look at those programs through the current lens. Mr. Perkins inquired at what point do newly suggested programs become relevant enough to replace those programs that have been funded year after year. Chief Fogerson spoke to the NRAC and Committee on Finance processes on establishing recommendations for the SCTBM. Some of the SCTBM noted are programs that keep the state safe. It is possible to push information back to the NRAC to have additional discussion as directed by the Commission. Mr. Perkins asked that at some point in time, new priorities may have to be set in lieu of existing priorities.

Sheriff Lombardo urged Commission involvement in setting HSGP priorities. The Governor voiced appreciation for the work done on those involved with these priorities.

Chief Steinbeck asked Chief Fogerson to set an agenda item with the NRAC and the Commission to further discuss priorities that may be vital and to re-evaluate the current recommendations. Both Chief Fogerson and Deputy Chief Samuels have addressed this issue extensively this year, but the Commission should participate further. The Governor asked that Mr. Perkins and Sheriff Lombardo be involved in those discussions as well.

Governor Sisolak called for a motion to approve the SCTBM as presented. Bill Welch, Nevada Hospital Association, motioned to approve the SCTBM for FFY 2021 as presented. No discussion was presented on the motion. All were in favor with no opposition. Motion passed unanimously.

9. Public Comment

Governor Sisolak called for the second period of public comment. John Steinbeck congratulated Chief Fogerson on his new position. The Governor wished everyone happy holidays.

10. Adjourn

Governor Sisolak adjourned the meeting.

FFY 2021 NEVADA HOMELAND SECURITY GRANT PROGRAM

DELIVERABLES AND MEETING TIMELINE – As of 3/23/21

AGENDA ITEM #5a

Meeting or Deliverable	Description of Meeting/Deliverable	Meeting or Deliverable Due Date	Meeting Time or Deliverable Status
Completion of the 2020 THIRA/SPR	THIRA/SPR data is captured and used to identify gap changes in capability statewide - Used by the NCHS to establish HSGP priorities for the following year.	12/31/2020	COMPLETE
FFY 2021 HSGP NOFO Released	Release of the FFY 2021 HSGP NOFO indicates allotment of funding applied to SHSP and UASI funding streams - This is the money Nevada projects will compete for.	February 25, 2021	COMPLETE
Nevada Commission on Homeland Security – Committee on Finance Meeting	FFY21 review of HSGP process and approved Strategic Capacities to be Maintained.	March 10, 2021	COMPLETE
Release of FFY21 HSGP Project Proposal requirements for Nevada’s Grant application.	FFY21 HSGP Project Proposal release of application.	March 15, 2021	COMPLETE
Nevada Resilience Advisory Committee	Required monthly meeting; will include a Strategic Capacities to be Maintained (SCTBM)	March 23, 2021	COMPLETE
FFY21 HSGP Grant Application due to DEM	FFY21 HSGP Project Proposal submission into ZOOM Grants	March 29, 2021	SCHEDULED – To be received by DEM in the ZoomGrants Portal no later than Midnight (11:59pm -12:00am) on March 29, 2021.
Nevada Commission on Homeland Security (NCHS) Meeting #1	FFY21 Discussion of HSGP timeline and overview of Nevada and DHS priorities.	March 30, 2021	SCHEDULED – 10:00 a.m.
Nevada Office of Cyber Defense Coordination (OCDC) Review	Review of FFY21 cybersecurity-specific project submissions, prioritization, and recommendation to the Co-Chairs of the NRAC	April 1, 2021	SCHEDULED – To be received by the NRAC Co-Chair by 5:00 p.m. PST
Statewide Interoperability Coordinator (SWIC) Review	Review of FFY21 communications-specific project submissions, prioritization, and recommendation to the Co-Chairs of the NRAC	April 1, 2021	SCHEDULED – To be received by the NRAC Co-Chairs by 5:00 p.m. PST
Nevada Resilience Advisory Committee (NRAC) Meeting #1	FFY21 HSGP project submission overview	TBD	PENDING - Week of April 5, 2021
Urban Area Working Group (UAWG) Meeting #1	FFY21 HSGP project review for UASI and UASI/SHSP split projects – UASI only and UASI/SHSP split project presenters MUST attend.	TBD	PENDING - Week of April 5, 2021
Urban Area Working Group (UAWG) Meeting #2	FFY21 UASI Project Prioritizing	TBD	PENDING - Week of April 26 th , 2021
Nevada Resilience Advisory Committee (NRAC) Meeting #2	FFY21 HSGP project review for SHSP or SHSP/UASI projects - Project presenter(s) for SHSP-only and SHSP/UASI split project submissions MUST attend.	TBD	PENDING - Week of April 26 th , 2021
Nevada Commission on Homeland Security (NCHS) – Committee on Finance Meeting	Review of FFY21 NRAC recommendations for SHSP-only and SHSP/UASI split funded HSGP projects and to hear informational only UAWG recommendations for UASI-only HSGP funding; Project presenter(s) with projects recommended for funding should attend.	May 3, 2021	SCHEDULED - 10:00 a.m.
Nevada Commission on Homeland Security (NCHS) Meeting #2	Review and Approval of FFY21 NRAC and UAWG recommendations; Project presenter(s) with projects recommended for funding should attend.	May 5, 2021	SCHEDULED – 10:00 a.m.
Final State Application due to FEMA DHS	Submission by DEM/HS of the final 2021 HSGP Grant application to DHS for consideration of project funding	May 14, 2021	May 14, 2021

**The Department of Homeland Security (DHS)
 Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO)
 Fiscal Year 2021 Homeland Security Grant Program**

NOTE: If you are going to apply for this funding opportunity and have not obtained an Employer Identification Number (EIN), a Data Universal Numbering System (DUNS) number, are not currently registered in the System for Award Management (SAM), or your SAM registration is not active, please take immediate action to obtain an EIN and DUNS Number, if applicable, and then register immediately in SAM or, if applicable, renew your SAM registration. It may take four weeks or more after you submit your SAM registration before your registration is active in SAM, then an additional 24 hours for Grants.gov to recognize your information. Information on obtaining a DUNS number and registering in SAM is available from Grants.gov at:

<http://www.grants.gov/web/grants/register.html>. Detailed information regarding DUNS, EIN, and SAM is also provided in Section D of this NOFO under the subsection titled “How to Register to Apply.” Detailed information regarding the time required for each registration is also provided in Section D of this NOFO under the subsection titled “Other Key Dates.”

Table of Contents

- A. Program Description..... 3
 - 1. Issued By..... 3
 - 2. Assistance Listings Number 3
 - 3. Assistance Listings Title 3
 - 4. Funding Opportunity Title 3
 - 5. Funding Opportunity Number..... 3
 - 6. Authorizing Authority for Program 3
 - 7. Appropriation Authority for Program..... 3
 - 8. Announcement Type..... 3
 - 9. Program Category 3
 - 10. Program Overview, Objectives, and Priorities 3
 - 11. Performance Metrics 9
- B. Federal Award Information 10
 - 1. Available Funding for the NOFO 10
 - 2. Projected Number of Awards..... 13
 - 3. Period of Performance 13
 - 4. Projected Period of Performance Start Date(s) 13
 - 5. Projected Period of Performance End Date(s) 13
 - 6. Funding Instrument Type..... 13
- C. Eligibility Information..... 13
 - 1. Eligible Applicants..... 13
 - 2. Applicant Eligibility Criteria 14
 - 3. Other Eligibility Criteria 14
 - 4. Cost Share or Match..... 15
- D. Application and Submission Information..... 15
 - 1. Key Dates and Times..... 15
 - 2. Agreeing to Terms and Conditions of the Award 17

3.	Address to Request Application Package	17
4.	Steps Required to Obtain a Unique Entity Identifier, Register in the System for Award Management (SAM), and Submit an Application	17
5.	Electronic Delivery	18
6.	How to Register to Apply through Grants.gov	18
7.	How to Submit an Initial Application to FEMA via Grants.gov	18
8.	Submitting the Final Application in ND Grants	19
9.	Timely Receipt Requirements and Proof of Timely Submission	19
10.	Content and Form of Application Submission.....	20
11.	Other Submission Requirements.....	32
12.	Intergovernmental Review.....	32
13.	Funding Restrictions and Allowable Costs.....	32
E.	Application Review Information.....	41
1.	Application Evaluation Criteria	41
2.	Review and Selection Process	43
F.	Federal Award Administration Information.....	46
1.	Notice of Award.....	46
2.	Pass-Through Requirements	47
3.	Administrative and National Policy Requirements.....	48
4.	Reporting.....	51
5.	Monitoring and Oversight.....	51
G.	DHS Awarding Agency Contact Information	52
1.	Contact and Resource Information	52
2.	Systems Information	53
H.	Additional Information.....	53
1.	Termination Provisions.....	54
2.	Period of Performance Extensions.....	54

A. Program Description

1. Issued By

U.S. Department of Homeland Security (DHS)/Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA)/Grant Programs Directorate (GPD)

2. Assistance Listings Number

97.067

3. Assistance Listings Title

Homeland Security Grant Program

4. Funding Opportunity Title

Fiscal Year 2021 Homeland Security Grant Program (HSGP)

- State Homeland Security Program (SHSP)
- Urban Area Security Initiative (UASI)
- Operation Stonegarden (OPSG)

5. Funding Opportunity Number

DHS-21-[GPD]-[067]-[00]-[02]

6. Authorizing Authority for Program

Section 2002 of the *Homeland Security Act of 2002* (Pub. L. No. 107-296, as amended) (6 U.S.C. § 603)

7. Appropriation Authority for Program

Department of Homeland Security Appropriations Act, 2021 (Pub. L. No. 116-260)

8. Announcement Type

Initial

9. Program Category

Preparedness: Community Security

10. Program Overview, Objectives, and Priorities

a. Overview

The Fiscal Year (FY) 2021 Homeland Security Grant Program (HSGP) is one of three grant programs that constitute the DHS/FEMA focus on enhancing the ability of state, local, tribal, and territorial governments, as well as nonprofits, to prevent, protect against, respond to, and recover from terrorist attacks. These grant programs are part of a comprehensive set of measures authorized by Congress and implemented by DHS to help strengthen the Nation's communities against potential terrorist attacks. Among the five basic homeland security missions noted in the DHS Strategic Plan, HSGP supports the goal to Strengthen National Preparedness and Resilience.

In FY 2021, there are three components of HSGP:

- 1) ***State Homeland Security Program (SHSP)***: SHSP assists state, local, tribal, and territorial efforts to build, sustain, and deliver the capabilities necessary to prevent, prepare for, protect against, and respond to acts of terrorism.
- 2) ***Urban Area Security Initiative (UASI)***: UASI assists high-threat, high-density Urban Area efforts to build, sustain, and deliver the capabilities necessary to prevent, prepare for, protect against, and respond to acts of terrorism.
- 3) ***Operation Stonegarden (OPSG)***: OPSG supports enhanced cooperation and coordination among Customs and Border Protection (CBP), United States Border Patrol (USBP), and federal, state, local, tribal, and territorial law enforcement agencies to improve overall border security. OPSG provides funding to support joint efforts to secure the United States' borders along routes of ingress/egress to and from international borders, to include travel corridors in states bordering Mexico and Canada, as well as states and territories with international water borders. State, local, tribal, and territorial (SLTT) law enforcement agencies utilize their inherent law enforcement authorities to support the border security mission and do not receive any additional authority as a result of participation in OPSG.

The [2018-2022 FEMA Strategic Plan](#) creates a shared vision for reducing the risks posed by terrorism and sets an ambitious, yet achievable, path forward to unify and further professionalize emergency management across the country. HSGP supports the goals of Building a Culture of Preparedness and Readyng the Nation for Catastrophic Disasters. We invite our stakeholders and partners to also adopt these priorities and join us in building a more prepared and resilient Nation, as preparedness is a shared responsibility and funding should support priorities that are most impactful and demonstrate the greatest return on investment.

For FY 2021, DHS is focused on the criticality of information sharing and collaboration to building a national culture of preparedness and protecting against terrorism and other emerging threats to our national security. DHS and its homeland security mission were born from the “failures among federal agencies and between the federal agencies and state and local authorities to share critical information related to the threat of terrorism” prior to the September 11, 2001, attacks.¹ The threat profile has changed in the past two decades – we now face continuous cyber threats by sophisticated actors, threats to soft targets and crowded places, threats from domestic violent extremists, and threats from new and emerging technologies. But information sharing and cooperation among state, local, and tribal authorities and federal agencies, including all DHS officials, is just as vital, and perhaps even more vital, today. Therefore, for FY 2021, we have identified five priority areas, tied to some of the most serious threats that DHS would like to see addressed by state and local

¹ Homeland Security Act of 2002: Report Together with Minority and Dissenting Views 222, Select Committee on Homeland Security: 107th Congress, U.S. House of Representatives (2002) (H. Rpt. 107-609).

governments, that recipients will need to address with their HSGP funds. Perhaps most importantly, we will be focused on forging partnerships to strengthen information sharing and collaboration in each of these priority areas and looking for recipients to remove barriers to communication and cooperation with DHS and other federal agencies.

b. *Objective*

The objective of the FY 2021 HSGP is to fund state, local, tribal, and territorial efforts to prevent terrorism and prepare the Nation for threats and hazards that pose the greatest risk to the security of the United States.

c. *Priorities*

Given the evolving threat landscape, it is incumbent upon DHS/FEMA to continuously evaluate the national risk profile and set priorities that help ensure appropriate allocation of scarce security dollars. In assessing the national risk profile for FY 2021, five priority areas attract the most concern. Due to the unique threats that the nation faces in 2021, DHS/FEMA has determined that these five priorities should be addressed by allocating specific percentages of SHSP and UASI funds to each of these five areas, for a total of 30 percent per award. The following are the five priority areas for FY 2021, along with the corresponding percentage of SHSP and UASI funds that each recipient will be required to designate to each priority area in order to obtain a full allocation of SHSP and UASI funds:

- 1) Enhancing cybersecurity – 7.5 percent
- 2) Enhancing the protection of soft targets/crowded places – 5 percent
- 3) Enhancing information and intelligence sharing and analysis, and cooperation with federal agencies, including DHS – 5 percent
- 4) Combating domestic violent extremism – 7.5 percent
- 5) Addressing emergent threats (e.g., transnational criminal organizations, unmanned aircraft systems [UASs], weapons of mass destruction [WMD], etc.) – 5 percent

Failure by a recipient to propose investments and projects that align with the five priority areas and spending requirements will result in a recipient having a portion of their SHSP and UASI funds (up to 30 percent) placed on hold until they provide projects that sufficiently align to the National Priority Areas, and total at least the minimum percentage identified above of total SHSP and UASI funds per National Priority Area.

A state or high-risk urban area must allocate the remaining 70 percent of their funding to gaps identified through their Threat and Hazard Identification and Risk Assessment (THIRA) and Stakeholder Preparedness Review (SPR) process.

Likewise, there are several enduring security needs that crosscut the homeland security enterprise to which recipients should consider allocating funding across core capability gaps and national priorities. The following are enduring needs that help recipients implement a comprehensive approach to securing communities:

- 1) Effective planning;
- 2) Training and awareness campaigns;

- 3) Equipment and capital projects; and
- 4) Exercises.

SHSP and UASI Funding Priorities

The table below provides a breakdown of the FY 2021 SHSP and UASI priorities (the focus of OPSG remains unique to border security), showing the core capabilities enhanced and lifelines supported, as well as examples of eligible project types for each area. A detailed description of allowable investments for each project type is included in the [Preparedness Grants Manual](#). DHS/FEMA anticipates that in future years, national priorities will continue to be included and will be updated as the threats evolve and as capability gaps are closed. Applicants are strongly encouraged to begin planning to sustain existing capabilities through funding mechanisms other than DHS preparedness grants.

FY 2021 SHSP & UASI Funding Priorities

Priority Areas	Core Capabilities	Lifelines	Example Project Types
National Priorities			
Enhancing Cybersecurity	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cybersecurity • Intelligence and information sharing • Planning • Public information and warning • Operational coordination • Screening, search, and detection • Access control and identity verification • Supply chain integrity and security • Risk management for protection programs and activities • Long-term vulnerability reduction • Situational assessment • Infrastructure systems • Operational communications 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Safety and Security 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cybersecurity risk assessments • Migrating online services to the “.gov” internet domain • Projects that address vulnerabilities identified in cybersecurity risk assessments <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Improving cybersecurity of critical infrastructure to meet minimum levels identified by the Cybersecurity and Infrastructure Security Agency (CISA) ○ Cybersecurity training and planning
Enhancing the Protection of Soft Targets/ Crowded Places	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Operational coordination • Public information and warning • Intelligence and information sharing • Interdiction and disruption • Screening, search, and detection • Access control and identity verification • Physical protective measures • Risk management for protection programs and activities 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Safety and Security 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Operational overtime • Physical security enhancements <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Closed-circuit television (CCTV) security cameras ○ Security screening equipment for people and baggage ○ Lighting ○ Access controls ○ Fencing, gates, barriers, etc.

Priority Areas	Core Capabilities	Lifelines	Example Project Types
Enhancing information and intelligence sharing and analysis, and cooperation with federal agencies, including DHS	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Intelligence and information sharing • Interdiction and disruption • Planning • Public information and warning • Operational coordination • Risk management for protection programs and activities 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Safety and Security 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Fusion center operations (Fusion Center project will be required under this investment, no longer as a stand-alone investment) • Information sharing with all DHS components; fusion centers; other operational, investigative, and analytic entities; and other federal law enforcement and intelligence entities • Cooperation with DHS officials and other entities designated by DHS in intelligence, threat recognition, assessment, analysis, and mitigation • Identification, assessment, and reporting of threats of violence • Joint intelligence analysis training and planning with DHS officials and other entities designated by DHS
Combating Domestic Violent Extremism	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Interdiction and disruption • Screening, search and detection • Physical protective measures • Intelligence and information sharing • Planning • Public information and warning • Operational coordination • Risk management for protection programs and activities 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Safety and Security 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Open source analysis of misinformation campaigns, targeted violence and threats to life, including tips/leads, and online/social media-based threats • Sharing and leveraging intelligence and information, including open source analysis • Execution and management of threat assessment programs to identify, evaluate, and analyze indicators and behaviors indicative of domestic violent extremists • Training and awareness programs (e.g., through social media, suspicious activity reporting [SAR] indicators and behaviors) to help prevent radicalization • Training and awareness programs (e.g., through social media, SAR indicators and behaviors) to educate the public on misinformation campaigns and resources to help them identify and report potential instances of domestic violent extremism
Addressing Emergent Threats, such as the activities of Transnational Criminal Organizations, open source threats, and threats from UAS and WMD	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Interdiction & disruption • Screening, search and detection • Physical protective measures • Intelligence and information sharing • Planning • Public Information and Warning • Operational Coordination 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Safety and Security 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sharing and leveraging intelligence and information • UAS detection technologies • Enhancing WMD and/or improvised explosive device (IED) prevention, detection, response and recovery capabilities <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Chemical/Biological/Radiological/Nuclear/Explosive (CBRNE) detection, prevention, response, and recovery equipment
Enduring Needs			
Planning	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Planning 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Safety and Security 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Development of: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Security Risk Management Plans

Priority Areas	Core Capabilities	Lifelines	Example Project Types
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Risk management for protection programs and activities • Risk and disaster resilience assessment • Threats and hazards identification • Operational coordination • Community resilience 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Threat Mitigation Plans ○ Continuity of Operations Plans ○ Response Plans • Efforts to strengthen governance integration between/among regional partners • Joint training and planning with DHS officials and other entities designated by DHS • Cybersecurity training and planning
Training & Awareness	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Long-term vulnerability reduction • Public information and warning • Operational coordination • Situational assessment • Community resilience 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Safety and Security 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Active shooter training • Intelligence analyst training • SAR and terrorism indicators/behaviors training • Security training for employees • Public awareness/preparedness campaigns • Joint training and planning with DHS officials and other entities designated by DHS • Cybersecurity training and planning
Equipment & Capital Projects	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Long-term vulnerability reduction • Infrastructure systems • Operational communications • Interdiction and disruption • Screening, search and detection • Access control and identity verification • Physical protective measures 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Safety and Security 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Protection of high-risk, high-consequence areas or systems that have been identified through risk assessments • Physical security enhancements <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Security cameras (CCTV) ○ Security screening equipment for people and baggage ○ Lighting ○ Access Controls <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Fencing, gates, barriers, etc.
Exercises	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Long-term vulnerability reduction • Operational coordination • Operational communications • Community resilience 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Safety and Security 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Response exercises

For FY 2021, each SHSP and UASI recipient is required to submit an Investment Justification (IJ) for *each* of the five National Priority Areas identified above. Each of these five investments must also account for at least the relevant minimum percentage of the applicant's SHSP and UASI allocation. The fusion center project must be included under the Information and Intelligence Sharing IJ. State Administrative Agencies (SAAs) may submit complete project-level information at the time of application, including the five National Priority Area IJs, but are not required to do so. As a reminder, all SHSP- and UASI-funded projects must have a demonstrated nexus to preventing, preparing for, protecting against, and responding to acts of terrorism. However, such projects may simultaneously support enhanced preparedness for disasters unrelated to acts of terrorism.

DHS/FEMA also requires SHSP and UASI recipients (states, territories, and high-risk urban areas) to complete a THIRA/SPR and prioritize grant funding to support closing capability gaps or sustaining capabilities that address national priorities and/or support enduring needs.

Additional information on the THIRA/SPR process, including other National Preparedness System (NPS) tools and resources, can be found at <https://www.fema.gov/national-preparedness-system>. Detailed information on THIRA/SPR timelines and deadlines can be found in the [Preparedness Grants Manual](#).

OPSG Funding Priorities

The table below provides a breakdown of the FY 2021 OPSG funding priorities, which remain focused on and unique to border security.

FY 2021 OPSG Funding Priorities

Priority Areas	Core Capabilities	Lifelines	Example Project Types
National Priorities			
Enhancing information and intelligence sharing and analysis, and cooperation with federal agencies, including DHS	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Intelligence and information sharing 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Safety and Security 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Participation in the DHS/ICE 287(g) training program Information sharing with all DHS components; fusion centers; other operational, investigative, and analytic entities; and other federal law enforcement and intelligence entities Cooperation with DHS officials and other entities designated by DHS in intelligence, threat recognition, assessment, analysis, and mitigation Identification, assessment, and reporting of threats of violence Joint intelligence analysis training and planning with DHS officials and other entities designated by DHS
Addressing Emergent Threats, such as the activities of Transnational Criminal Organizations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Interdiction & disruption Screening, search and detection Physical protective measures Intelligence and information sharing 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Safety and Security 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Operational overtime for border security operations as directed by the applicable, USBP-approved operations order Sharing and leveraging intelligence and information

For FY 2021, each OPSG applicant is required to clearly articulate and identify how the Concept of Operations addresses *each* of the two national priorities identified above.

11. Performance Metrics

Performance metrics for this program:

SHSP and UASI:

- Percentage of funding allocated by the recipient to core capabilities to build or sustain national priorities identified in the section above

OPSG:

- Number of contacts that occurred as a result of OPSG deployments
 - Number of arrests that resulted from OPSG contacts
 - Value of drug seizures that resulted from OPSG contacts

B. Federal Award Information

1. Available Funding for the NOFO: **\$1,120,000,000.00**

HSGP Programs	FY 2021 Allocation
SHSP	\$415,000,000
UASI	\$615,000,000
OPSG	\$90,000,000
Total	\$1,120,000,000

SHSP Allocations

For FY 2021, DHS/FEMA will award SHSP funds based on DHS/FEMA's relative risk methodology and statutory minimums pursuant to the *Homeland Security Act of 2002*, as amended. THIRA/SPR results do not impact grant allocation or award.

Each state and territory will receive a minimum allocation under the SHSP using thresholds established in the *Homeland Security Act of 2002*, as amended. All 50 States, the District of Columbia, and the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico will receive 0.35 percent of the total funds allocated for grants under Section 2003 and Section 2004 of the *Homeland Security Act of 2002*, as amended. Each of the four territories (American Samoa, Guam, the Northern Mariana Islands, and the U.S. Virgin Islands) will receive a minimum allocation of 0.08 percent of the total funds allocated for grants under Section 2003 and 2004 of the *Homeland Security Act of 2002*, as amended.

Each state must include a separate IJ for each of the five National Priority Areas identified in the Priorities section, above. **All projects related to the National Priority Area must be included in the IJ.** The funding level in each National Priority Area investment **must equal or exceed** the percentage for that respective National Priority Area, calculated as a percentage of the state's SHSP allocation in the table below.

FY 2021 SHSP ALLOCATIONS

State/Territory	FY 2021 Allocation	State/Territory	FY 2021 Allocation
Alabama	\$4,602,500	Montana	\$4,602,500
Alaska	\$4,602,500	Nebraska	\$4,602,500
American Samoa	\$1,052,000	Nevada	\$4,602,500
Arizona	\$4,602,500	New Hampshire	\$4,602,500
Arkansas	\$4,602,500	New Jersey	\$7,345,897
California	\$59,220,807	New Mexico	\$4,602,500
Colorado	\$4,602,500	New York	\$70,639,800

State/Territory	FY 2021 Allocation	State/Territory	FY 2021 Allocation
Connecticut	\$4,602,500	North Carolina	\$5,280,222
Delaware	\$4,602,500	North Dakota	\$4,602,500
District of Columbia	\$5,280,222	Northern Mariana Islands	\$1,052,000
Florida	\$9,701,894	Ohio	\$6,428,138
Georgia	\$5,491,278	Oklahoma	\$4,602,500
Guam	\$1,052,000	Oregon	\$4,602,500
Hawaii	\$4,602,500	Pennsylvania	\$8,447,973
Idaho	\$4,602,500	Puerto Rico	\$4,602,500
Illinois	\$14,427,260	Rhode Island	\$4,602,500
Indiana	\$4,602,500	South Carolina	\$4,602,500
Iowa	\$4,602,500	South Dakota	\$4,602,500
Kansas	\$4,602,500	Tennessee	\$4,602,500
Kentucky	\$4,602,500	Texas	\$18,908,141
Louisiana	\$4,602,500	U.S. Virgin Islands	\$1,052,000
Maine	\$4,602,500	Utah	\$4,602,500
Maryland	\$7,345,897	Vermont	\$4,602,500
Massachusetts	\$6,428,138	Virginia	\$8,447,973
Michigan	\$5,280,222	Washington	\$6,428,138
Minnesota	\$4,602,500	West Virginia	\$4,602,500
Mississippi	\$4,602,500	Wisconsin	\$4,602,500
Missouri	\$4,602,500	Wyoming	\$4,602,500
Total			\$415,000,000

UASI Allocations

Eligible candidates for the FY 2021 UASI program are identified in the table below. Eligibility has been determined through an analysis of relative risk of terrorism faced by the 100 most populous Metropolitan Statistical Areas (MSAs) in the United States, in accordance with the *Homeland Security Act of 2002*, as amended. Detailed information on MSAs is publicly available from the United States Census Bureau at <https://www.census.gov/programs-surveys/metro-micro.html>. THIRA/SPR results do not impact grant allocation or award.

The following table identifies the UASI allocations for each high-risk urban area based on DHS/FEMA's relative risk methodology pursuant to the *Homeland Security Act of 2002*, as amended.

In its application, each high-risk urban area, through the state, must include a separate IJ for each of the five National Priority Areas identified in the Priorities section, above. **All projects related to the National Priority Area must be included in the IJ.** The funding level in each National Priority Area investment **must equal or exceed** the percentage for that respective National Priority Area, calculated as a percentage of the urban area's UASI allocation in the table below.

2021 UASI ALLOCATIONS

State/Territory	Urban Area	FY 2021 UASI Allocation
Arizona	Phoenix Area	\$5,250,000
California	Anaheim/Santa Ana Area	\$5,250,000
	Bay Area	\$37,500,000
	Los Angeles/Long Beach Area	\$68,000,000
	Riverside Area	\$3,900,000
	Sacramento Area	\$3,800,000
	San Diego Area	\$16,900,000
Colorado	Denver Area	\$3,900,000
District of Columbia	National Capital Region	\$51,750,000
Florida	Miami/Fort Lauderdale Area	\$14,750,000
	Orlando Area	\$3,800,000
	Tampa Area	\$3,800,000
Georgia	Atlanta Area	\$6,250,000
Hawaii	Honolulu Area	\$3,800,000
Illinois	Chicago Area	\$68,000,000
Maryland	Baltimore Area	\$4,250,000
Massachusetts	Boston Area	\$16,900,000
Michigan	Detroit Area	\$5,250,000
Minnesota	Twin Cities Area	\$5,250,000
Missouri	St. Louis Area	\$3,800,000
Nevada	Las Vegas Area	\$5,250,000
New Jersey	Jersey City/Newark Area	\$19,050,000
New York	New York City Area	\$178,750,000
North Carolina	Charlotte Area	\$3,800,000
Oregon	Portland Area	\$3,800,000
Pennsylvania	Philadelphia Area	\$16,900,000
Texas	Dallas/Fort Worth/Arlington Area	\$16,900,000
	Houston Area	\$24,600,000
	San Antonio Area	\$3,800,000
Virginia	Hampton Roads Area	\$3,800,000
Washington	Seattle Area	\$6,250,000
Total		\$615,000,000

OPSG Allocations

For FY 2021, DHS/FEMA will award OPSG funds based on risk and the anticipated effectiveness of the proposed use of grant funds upon completion of the application review process. The FY 2021 OPSG risk assessment is designed to identify the risk to border security and to assist with the distribution of funds for the grant program. Funding under OPSG is distributed based on the risk to the security of the border and the effectiveness of the proposed projects. Entities eligible for funding are the state, local, and tribal law

enforcement agencies that are located along the border of the United States. DHS/FEMA will make final award determinations based upon a review of the anticipated effectiveness of the state's application as described in Section D, below. The THIRA/SPR process is not required for OPSG.

For the purposes of OPSG, the risk is defined as the potential for an adverse outcome assessed as a function of threats, vulnerabilities, and consequences associated with an incident, event, or occurrence.

Based upon ongoing intelligence analysis and extensive security reviews, DHS/CBP continues to focus the bulk of OPSG funds based upon risk analyses. The risk model used to allocate OPSG funds considers the potential risk that certain threats pose to border security and estimates the relative risk faced by a given area. In evaluating risk, DHS/CBP considers intelligence, situational awareness, criminal trends, and statistical data specific to each of the border sectors, and the potential impacts that these threats pose to the security of the border area. For vulnerability and consequence, DHS/CBP considers the expected impact and consequences of successful border events occurring in specific areas.

Threat and vulnerability are evaluated based on specific operational data from DHS/CBP. Threat components present in each of the sectors are used to determine the overall threat score. These components are terrorism, criminal aliens, drug trafficking organizations, and alien smuggling organizations.

Effectiveness of the proposed investments will be evaluated based on the recipient's investment strategy, budget, collaboration, and past performance.

2. Projected Number of Awards: **56**
3. Period of Performance: **36 months**

Extensions to the period of performance are allowed. For additional information on period of performance extensions, please refer to Section H of this NOFO and the [Preparedness Grants Manual](#).

FEMA awards under this program only include one budget period, so it will be same as the period of performance. *See* 2 C.F.R. § 200.1 for definitions of "budget period" and "period of performance."

4. Projected Period of Performance Start Date(s): **10/01/2021**
5. Projected Period of Performance End Date(s): **09/30/2024**
6. Funding Instrument Type: **Grant**

C. Eligibility Information

1. Eligible Applicants

The SAA is the only entity eligible to submit HSGP applications to DHS/FEMA, including

those applications submitted on behalf of UASI and OPSG applicants. All 56 states and territories, including any state of the United States, the District of Columbia, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, the U.S. Virgin Islands, Guam, American Samoa, and the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands, are eligible to apply for SHSP funds. Tribal governments may not apply directly for HSGP funding; however, funding may be available to tribes under the SHSP and OPSG through the SAA.

2. Applicant Eligibility Criteria

Eligible high-risk urban areas for the FY 2021 UASI program have been determined through an analysis of relative risk of terrorism faced by the 100 most populous MSAs in the United States. Subawards will be made by the SAAs to the designated high-risk urban areas.

In FY 2021, OPSG eligible subrecipients are local units of government at the county level or equivalent level of government and federally recognized tribal governments in states bordering Canada or Mexico and states and territories with international water borders. All applicants must have active ongoing USBP operations coordinated through a CBP sector office to be eligible for OPSG funding.

In FY 2021, OPSG subrecipients eligible to apply for and receive a subaward directly from the SAAs are divided into three Tiers. Tier 1 entities are local units of government at the county level or equivalent and federally recognized tribal governments that are on a physical border in states bordering Canada, states bordering Mexico, and states and territories with international water borders. Tier 2 eligible subrecipients are those not located on the physical border or international water but are contiguous to a Tier 1 county. Tier 3 eligible subrecipients are those not located on the physical border or international water but are contiguous to a Tier 2 eligible subrecipient. The tier structure is only applicable with regard to eligibility. OPSG funding allocations are based on the assessed border security risks as determined by the USBP.

An application submitted by an otherwise eligible non-federal entity (i.e., the applicant) may be deemed ineligible when the person that submitted the application is not: 1) a ***current employee, personnel, official, staff, or leadership*** of the non-federal entity; and 2) ***duly authorized to apply*** for an award on behalf of the non-federal entity at the time of application.

Further, the Authorized Organization Representative (AOR) must be a duly authorized current employee, personnel, official, staff, or leadership of the recipient and ***provide an email address unique to the recipient at the time of application and upon any change in assignment during the period of performance. Consultants or contractors of the recipient are not permitted to be the AOR of the recipient.***

3. Other Eligibility Criteria

a. *National Incident Management System (NIMS) Implementation*

Prior to allocation of any federal preparedness awards, recipients must ensure and maintain adoption and implementation of NIMS. The list of objectives used for progress and

achievement reporting is on FEMA's website at <https://www.fema.gov/emergency-managers/nims/implementation-training>.

Please see the [Preparedness Grants Manual](#) for more information on NIMS.

b. *Emergency Management Assistance Compact (EMAC) Membership*

In support of the National Preparedness Goal (the Goal), SHSP recipients must belong to, be in, or act as a temporary member of EMAC, except for American Samoa and the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands, which are not required to belong to EMAC at this time. All assets supported in part or entirely with FY 2021 HSGP funding must be readily deployable and NIMS-typed when possible to support emergency or disaster operations per existing EMAC agreements. In addition, funding may be used for the sustainment of core capabilities that, while they may not be physically deployable, support national response capabilities, such as Geographic/Geospatial Information Systems (GIS), interoperable communications systems, capabilities as defined under the Mitigation Mission Area of the Goal, and fusion centers.

c. *Law Enforcement Terrorism Prevention Activities (LETPA)*

Per section 2006 of the *Homeland Security Act of 2002*, as amended (6 U.S.C. § 607), DHS/FEMA is required to ensure that at least 25 percent of grant funding appropriated for grants awarded under HSGP's authorizing statute are used for LETPAs. DHS/FEMA meets this requirement, in part, by requiring all recipients allocate at least 25 percent of the combined HSGP funds allocated under SHSP and UASI towards LETPAs, as defined in 6 U.S.C. § 607. The LETPA allocation can be from SHSP, UASI, or both. The 25 percent LETPA allocation may be met by funding projects in any combination of the five National Priority Areas identified above and any other investments. The 25 percent LETPA allocation requirement is in addition to the 80 percent pass-through requirement to local units of government and tribes, referenced below.

The [National Prevention Framework](#) describes those activities that should be executed upon the discovery of intelligence or information regarding an imminent threat to the homeland, to thwart an initial or follow-on terrorist attack and provides guidance to ensure the Nation is prepared to identify, prevent, avoid, or stop a threatened or actual act of terrorism. Activities outlined in the National Prevention Framework are eligible for use as LETPA-focused funds. Also, where capabilities are shared with the protection mission area, the National Protection Framework activities are also eligible. All other terrorism prevention activities proposed for funding under LETPA must be approved by the FEMA Administrator.

4. Cost Share or Match

There is no cost share or match requirement for the FY 2021 HSGP.

D. Application and Submission Information

1. Key Dates and Times

a. *Application Start Date:* 02/25/2021

b. Application Submission Deadline: 05/14/2021 at 05 PM ET

All applications **must** be received by the established deadline.

The Non-Disaster (ND) Grants System has a date stamp that indicates when an application is submitted. Applicants will receive an electronic message confirming receipt of their submission. For additional information on how an applicant will be notified of application receipt, see the subsection titled “Timely Receipt Requirements and Proof of Timely Submission” in Section D of this NOFO.

FEMA will not review applications that are received after the deadline or consider these late applications for funding. FEMA may, however, extend the application deadline on request for any applicant who can demonstrate that good cause exists to justify extending the deadline. Good cause for an extension may include technical problems outside of the applicant’s control that prevent submission of the application by the deadline, other exigent or emergency circumstances, or statutory requirements for FEMA to make an award.

Applicants experiencing technical problems outside of their control must notify FEMA as soon as possible and before the application deadline. Failure to timely notify FEMA of the issue that prevented the timely filing of the application may preclude consideration of the award. “Timely notification” of FEMA means: prior to the application deadline and within 48 hours after the applicant became aware of the issue.

A list of FEMA contacts can be found in Section G of this NOFO, “DHS Awarding Agency Contact Information.” For additional assistance using the ND Grants System, please contact the ND Grants Service Desk at (800) 865-4076 or NDGrants@fema.dhs.gov. The ND Grants Service Desk is available Monday through Friday, 9:00 AM – 6:00 PM Eastern Time (ET). For programmatic or grants management questions, please contact your Program Analyst or Grants Specialist. If applicants do not know who to contact or if there are programmatic questions or concerns, please contact the Centralized Scheduling and Information Desk (CSID) by phone at (800) 368-6498 or by e-mail at askcsid@fema.dhs.gov, Monday through Friday, 9 AM – 5 PM ET.

c. Anticipated Funding Selection Date: No later than 07/16/2021

d. Anticipated Award Date: No later than 09/30/2021

e. Other Key Dates:

Event	Suggested Deadline for Completion
Obtaining DUNS Number	Four weeks before actual submission deadline
Obtaining a valid EIN	Four weeks before actual submission deadline
Creating an account with login.gov	Four weeks before actual submission deadline
Registering in SAM or Updating SAM registration	Four weeks before actual submission deadline
Registering in Grants.gov	Four weeks before actual submission deadline

Starting application in Grants.gov	One week before actual submission deadline
Submitting the final application in ND Grants	By the submission deadline

2. Agreeing to Terms and Conditions of the Award

By submitting an application, applicants agree to comply with the requirements of this NOFO and the terms and conditions of the award, should they receive an award.

3. Address to Request Application Package

See the [Preparedness Grants Manual](#) for requesting and submitting an application.

Initial applications are processed through the [Grants.gov](#) portal. Final applications are completed and submitted through FEMA’s ND Grants System. Application forms and instructions are available at Grants.gov. To access these materials, go to <http://www.grants.gov>.

Hard copies of the NOFO can be downloaded at [Grants.gov](#) or obtained via email from the Awarding Office points of contact listed in Section G of this NOFO, “DHS Awarding Agency Contact Information” or by TTY (800) 462-7585.

4. Steps Required to Obtain a Unique Entity Identifier, Register in the System for Award Management (SAM), and Submit an Application

Applying for an award under this program is a multi-step process and requires time to complete. Applicants are encouraged to register early as the registration process can take four weeks or more to complete. Therefore, registration should be done in sufficient time to ensure it does not impact your ability to meet required submission deadlines.

Please review the table above for estimated deadlines to complete each of the steps listed. Failure of an applicant to comply with any of the required steps before the deadline for submitting an application may disqualify that application from funding.

To apply for an award under this program, all applicants must:

- a. Apply for, update, or verify their Data Universal Numbering System (DUNS) number from Dun & Bradstreet and Employer Identification Number (EIN) from the Internal Revenue Service;
- b. In the application, provide a valid DUNS number, which is currently the unique entity identifier;
- c. Have an account with [login.gov](#);
- d. Register for, update, or verify their SAM account and ensure the account is active before submitting the application;
- e. Create a Grants.gov account;
- f. Add a profile to a Grants.gov account;
- g. Establish an AOR in Grants.gov;
- h. Register in ND Grants
- i. Submit an initial application in Grants.gov;

- j. Submit the final application in ND Grants, including electronically signing applicable forms; and**
- k. Continue to maintain an active SAM registration with current information at all times during which it has an active federal award or an application or plan under consideration by a federal awarding agency. As part of this, applicants must also provide information on an applicant's immediate and highest-level owner and subsidiaries, as well as on all predecessors that have been awarded federal contracts or federal financial assistance within the last three years, if applicable.

Specific instructions on how to apply for, update, or verify a DUNS number or SAM registration or establish an AOR are included below in the steps for applying through Grants.gov.

Applicants are advised that FEMA may not make a federal award until the applicant has complied with all applicable DUNS and SAM requirements. Therefore, an applicant's SAM registration must be active not only at the time of application, but also during the application review period and when FEMA is ready to make a federal award. Further, as noted above, an applicant's or recipient's SAM registration must remain active for the duration of an active federal award. If an applicant's SAM registration is expired at the time of application, expires during application review, or expires any other time before award, FEMA may determine that the applicant is not qualified to receive a federal award and use that determination as a basis for making a federal award to another applicant.

Per 2 C.F.R. § 25.110(c)(2)(ii), if an applicant is experiencing exigent circumstances that prevents it from receiving a DUNS number and completing SAM registration prior to receiving a federal award, the applicant must notify FEMA as soon as possible by contacting askcsid@fema.dhs.gov and providing the details of the circumstances that prevent completion of these requirements. If FEMA determines that there are exigent circumstances and FEMA has decided to make an award, the applicant will be required to obtain a DUNS number and complete SAM registration within 30 days of the federal award date.

5. Electronic Delivery

DHS is participating in the Grants.gov initiative to provide the grant community with a single site to find and apply for grant funding opportunities. DHS encourages or requires applicants to submit their applications online through Grants.gov, depending on the funding opportunity.

For this funding opportunity, FEMA requires applicants to submit initial applications through Grants.gov and a final application through ND Grants.

6. How to Register to Apply through Grants.gov

For information on how to register to apply through Grants.gov, please see the [Preparedness Grants Manual](#).

7. How to Submit an Initial Application to FEMA via Grants.gov

Standard Form 424 (SF-424) is the initial application for this NOFO.

Grants.gov applicants can apply online using a workspace. A workspace is a shared, online environment where members of a grant team may simultaneously access and edit different web forms within an application. For each Notice of Funding Opportunity, you can create individual instances of a workspace. Applicants are encouraged to submit their initial applications in Grants.gov at least seven days before the application deadline.

In Grants.gov, applicants need to submit the following forms:

- SF-424, Application for Federal Assistance
- Grants.gov Lobbying Form, Certification Regarding Lobbying

For further information on how to submit an initial application via Grants.gov, please see the [Preparedness Grants Manual](#).

8. Submitting the Final Application in ND Grants

After submitting the initial application in Grants.gov, eligible applicants will be notified by FEMA and asked to proceed with submitting their complete application package in ND Grants. Applicants can register early with ND Grants and are encouraged to begin their ND Grants registration at the time of this announcement or, at the latest, seven days before the application deadline. Early registration will allow applicants to have adequate time to start and complete their applications.

Applicants needing assistance registering for the ND Grants system should contact ndgrants@fema.dhs.gov or (800) 865-4076. For step-by-step directions on using the ND Grants system and other guides, please see <https://www.fema.gov/grants/guidance-tools/non-disaster-grants-management-system>.

In ND Grants, applicants will be prompted to submit the standard application information and any program-specific information required as described in Section D.10 of this NOFO, “Content and Form of Application Submission.”. The Standard Forms (SF) are auto generated in ND Grants, but applicants may access these forms in advance through the Forms tab under the [SF-424 family on Grants.gov](#). Applicants should review these forms before applying to ensure they have all the information required.

For additional application submission requirements, including program-specific requirements, please refer to the subsection titled “Content and Form of Application Submission” under Section D of this NOFO.

9. Timely Receipt Requirements and Proof of Timely Submission

As application submission is a two-step process, the applicant with the AOR role who submitted the application in Grants.gov will receive an acknowledgement of receipt and a tracking number (GRANTXXXXXXXX) from Grants.gov with the successful transmission of its initial application. **This notification does not serve as proof of timely submission, as the application is not complete until it is submitted in ND Grants.** Applicants can also view the ND Grants Agency Tracking Number by accessing the Details tab in the submitted workspace section in Grants.gov, under the Agency Tracking Number column. Should the Agency Tracking Number not appear, the application has not yet migrated from Grants.gov

into the ND Grants System. Please allow 24 hours for your ND Grants application tracking number to migrate.

All applications must be received in ND Grants by **5 PM ET** on the application deadline. Proof of timely submission is automatically recorded by ND Grants. An electronic date/time stamp is generated within the system when the application is successfully received by ND Grants. Additionally, the applicant(s) listed as contacts on the application will receive a system-generated email to confirm receipt.

10. Content and Form of Application Submission

a. *Standard Required Application Forms and Information*

I. GRANTS.GOV

- **SF-424, Application for Federal Assistance**, initial application submitted through Grants.gov
- **Grants.gov Lobbying Form, Certification Regarding Lobbying**, submitted through Grants.gov

II. ND GRANTS

- **SF-424A, Budget Information (Non-Construction)**, submitted via the forms generated by ND Grants
 - **For construction under an award, submit SF-424C, Budget Information (Construction)**, submitted via the forms generated by ND Grants, in addition to or instead of SF-424A
- **SF-424B, Standard Assurances (Non-Construction)**, submitted via the forms generated by ND Grants
 - **For construction under an award, submit SF-424D, Standard Assurances (Construction)**, submitted via the forms generated by ND Grants, in addition to or instead of SF-424B
- **SF-LLL, Disclosure of Lobbying Activities**, submitted via the forms generated by ND Grants
- **Indirect Cost Agreement or Proposal**, submitted as an attachment in ND Grants if the budget includes indirect costs and the applicant is required to have an indirect cost rate agreement or proposal. If the applicant does not have or is not required to have an indirect cost rate agreement or proposal, please see Section D.13 of this NOFO, “Funding Restrictions and Allowable Costs,” for further information regarding allowability of indirect costs and whether alternatives to an indirect cost rate agreement or proposal might be available, or contact the relevant FEMA staff identified in Section G of this NOFO, “DHS Awarding Agency Contact Information” for further instructions.

Generally, applicants have to submit either the non-construction forms (i.e., SF-424A and SF-424B) or construction forms (i.e., SF-424C and SF-424D), meaning that applicants that only have construction work and do not have any non-construction work need only submit the construction forms (i.e., SF-424C and SF-424D) and not the non-construction forms (i.e., SF-424A and SF-424B), and vice versa. However, applicants who have both construction and non-construction work under this program need to submit both the construction and non-construction forms.

b. Program-Specific Required Forms and Information

I. IJ DEVELOPMENT: SHSP AND UASI

As part of the FY 2021 HSGP application process for SHSP and UASI funds, applicants must develop formal IJs that address the proposed investments. Failure to fulfill all of the terms contained in this section will be considered by DHS/FEMA in its evaluation of the effectiveness of the IJs submitted to meet the minimum percent spend requirement for each National Priority Area. Failure to sufficiently align projects to the National Priority Areas and meet the minimum percent spend requirement will result in funds being placed on hold until those issues are addressed.

FY 2021 SHSP and UASI applications must include one (1) IJ and at least one (1) respective project for each of the five National Priority Areas (Cybersecurity, Soft Targets/Crowded Places, Intelligence and Information Sharing, Countering Domestic Violent Extremism, and Emerging Threats) identified in this NOFO. Each of these five IJs must also meet or exceed the minimum percent spend requirement based on the applicant's SHSP and UASI allocation stated in this NOFO. **The SAA must submit one IJ per National Priority Area; all projects associated with a National Priority Area must be submitted in the same IJ and account for the relevant minimum spend requirement as a percentage of the SHSP or UASI allocation.** SAAs may submit complete project-level information at the time of application but are not required to do so at the time of application. However, any SHSP or UASI application that does not include an IJ for each National Priority Area that meets the minimum spend requirement will have that funding placed on hold (up to the National Priority Area, or up to 30 percent of the total award) until those IJs and project-level details that sufficiently address the National Priority Areas are received and approved by DHS/FEMA.

Each IJ must *demonstrate* how proposed investments:

- Support terrorism preparedness;
- Support closing capability gaps or sustaining capabilities identified in the community's THIRA/SPR process; and
- Support the overcoming of existing logistical, technological, legal, policy, and other impediments to collaborating, networking, sharing information, cooperating, and fostering a culture of national preparedness with federal, state, tribal, and local governments, as well as other regional, and nonprofit partners in efforts to prevent, prepare for, protect against, and respond to acts of terrorism, to meet its target capabilities, support the national security mission of DHS and other federal agencies, and to otherwise reduce the overall risk to the high-risk urban area, the state, or the Nation.

Each IJ must *explain* how the proposed investments will support the applicant's efforts to:

- Prevent a threatened or an actual act of terrorism;
- Prepare for all hazards and threats, while explaining the nexus to terrorism preparedness;
- Protect citizens, residents, visitors, and assets against the greatest threats and hazards, relating to acts of terrorism; and/or

- Respond quickly to save lives, protect property and the environment, and meet basic human needs in the aftermath of an act of terrorism or other catastrophic incidents.

If not included in the application, SHSP and UASI recipients must submit complete project-level information for each SHSP and UASI IJ as part of the Biannual Strategy Implementation Report (BSIR) due by January 30, 2022. This includes IJs for the five National Priority Areas.

DHS/FEMA will evaluate the effectiveness of the projects submitted in support of the National Priority Areas, either at the time of application or as part of the December 2021 BSIR. DHS/FEMA will not reduce FY 2021 HSGP awards based on the effectiveness review but will work with recipients to ensure compliance with the National Priority Area requirements based on the results of the effectiveness review. Recipients and subrecipients will not be permitted to expend funding under the National Priority Areas until the effectiveness of the proposed projects has been reviewed and confirmed by FEMA.

II. DEVELOPMENT OF INVESTMENTS AND PROJECTS: SHSP

- Applicants must propose at least five and may include up to ten investments.
- Within each investment, applicants must propose at least one project to describe the activities they plan to implement with SHSP funds. There is no limit to the number of projects that may be submitted.
- Required National Priority Area IJs must include the name of the priority in the investment name for easy identification.
- Of the proposed SHSP-funded investments, one single project, within the required Intelligence and Information Sharing National Priority Area IJ, must be in support of a designated fusion center. Recipients must coordinate with the fusion center when developing a fusion center project prior to submission. See additional information on how to develop the fusion center projects below and in the [Preparedness Grants Manual](#).
- All emergency communications investments must describe how such activities align with needs identified in their Statewide Communication Interoperability Plan (SCIP). Recipients must coordinate with their Statewide Interoperability Coordinator (SWIC) and/or Statewide Interoperability Governing Body (SIGB) when developing an emergency communications investment prior to submission to ensure the project supports the statewide strategy to improve emergency communications and is compatible and interoperable with surrounding systems. The investment name must include the words “emergency communications” to easily identify any emergency communications investments.
- All requested funding must be associated with specific projects. For each project, several pieces of information must be provided to submit the project for consideration in the application, including:
 - Project name;
 - Project description;
 - Subrecipient name, if applicable;
 - Recipient type (e.g., state or local);
 - Project location (zip code of the primary location of the project);
 - Primary core capability the project supports;

- Whether the project activities are shareable and deployable; and
- Which National Priority Area (if any) the project supports.
- Projects should describe how the proposed investment supports closing capability gaps or sustaining capabilities identified in the THIRA/SPR process.
- FEMA encourages states to use any DHS provided assessments, such as those performed by DHS's Protective Security Advisors and Cybersecurity Advisors, when developing their IJs.

III. **NATIONAL PRIORITY AREA INVESTMENTS: SHSP**

States are encouraged to review the [Strategic Framework for Countering Terrorism and Targeted Violence](#) when developing investments.

- **Cybersecurity IJ (7.5 percent)**

At least one investment must be in support of the state's cybersecurity efforts. The investment must meet or exceed the FY 2021 national priority percentage for cybersecurity and will also be subject to DHS/FEMA's evaluation of the effectiveness of the proposed investments. Cybersecurity investments must support the security and functioning of critical infrastructure and core capabilities as they relate to preventing, preparing for, protecting against, or responding to acts of terrorism. Recipients and subrecipients of FY 2021 HSGP grant awards will be required to complete the 2021 [Nationwide Cybersecurity Review](#) (NCSR), enabling agencies to benchmark and measure progress of improving their cybersecurity posture. The Chief Information Officer (CIO), Chief Information Security Officer (CISO) or equivalent for each recipient should complete the NCSR. If there is no CIO or CISO, the most senior cybersecurity professional should complete the assessment. The NCSR is available at no cost to the user and takes approximately 2-3 hours to complete. The 2021 NCSR is estimated to be open from October – December 2021.

The NCSR is an annual requirement for recipients and subrecipients of HSGP funds. Additionally, FEMA recognizes that some subawards will not be issued until after the NCSR has closed. In such cases, such subrecipients will be required to complete the first available NCSR offered after the subaward has been issued by the pass-through entity. Although not required by SLTTs that did not receive HSGP funds, all SLTT agencies with preparedness responsibilities are highly encouraged to participate and complete the NCSR to evaluate their cybersecurity posture. For detailed information and background on the NCSR, please see [IB 439](#).

- **Soft Targets/Crowded Places IJ (5 percent)**

Soft targets and crowded places are increasingly appealing to terrorists and other extremist actors because of their relative accessibility and the large number of potential targets. This challenge is complicated by the prevalent use of simple tactics and less sophisticated attacks. Segments of our society are inherently open to the general public, and by nature of their purpose do not incorporate strict security measures. Given the increased emphasis by terrorists and other extremist actors to leverage less sophisticated methods to inflict harm in public areas, it is vital that the public and private sectors

collaborate to enhance security of locations such as transportation centers, parks, restaurants, shopping centers, special event venues, and similar facilities.

Given the increased risk to soft targets and crowded places, at least one investment must be in support of the state's efforts to protect soft targets/crowded places. Additionally, the proposed investment must meet or exceed the FY 2021 national priority percentage for soft targets/crowded places and will also be subject to DHS/FEMA's evaluation of the effectiveness of the proposed investments. Additional resources and information regarding securing soft targets and crowded places are available through the [Cybersecurity and Infrastructure Security Agency](#). States are encouraged to engage DHS' Protective Security Advisors' security assessments of soft targets to ensure that recommendations from those assessments are taken into consideration when allocating grant funding.

- **Information and Intelligence Sharing and Cooperation IJ (5 percent)**

Effective homeland security operations rely on access to, analysis of, and the timely sharing of open source, unclassified, and classified information, suspicious activity reports, tips/leads, and actionable intelligence on indicators and behaviors to accurately identify, assess, and mitigate a wide array of threats against the United States, including terrorism, threats to life, targeted violence, and other threats within the DHS mission space. Accordingly, DHS works diligently to enhance intelligence collection, integration, analysis, and information sharing capabilities to ensure partners, stakeholders, and senior leaders receive actionable intelligence and information necessary to inform their decisions and operations. A critical and statutorily charged mission of DHS is to deliver intelligence and information to federal, state, local, and tribal governments and private sector partners. Cooperation and information sharing among state, federal, and local partners across all areas of the homeland security enterprise, including counterterrorism – including both international and domestic terrorism, cybersecurity, border security, transnational organized crime, immigration enforcement, economic security, and other areas is critical to homeland security operations and the prevention of, preparation for, protection against, and responding to acts of terrorism, and other threats to life and criminal acts of targeted violence.

Given the importance of information sharing and collaboration to effective homeland security solutions, at least one investment must be in support of the state's efforts to enhance information sharing and cooperation with DHS and other federal agencies. As noted above, this requirement must include at least one dedicated fusion center project. Additional instructions on development of the fusion center project can be found below. Applicants must justify persuasively how they will contribute to the information sharing and collaboration purposes of the investment and a culture of national preparedness. Additionally, the proposed investment must meet or exceed the FY 2021 national priority percentage for information sharing and cooperation with DHS and will also be subject to DHS/FEMA's evaluation of the effectiveness of the proposed investments. Additional resources and information regarding collaboration and information sharing are available through the Department's [Office of Intelligence and Analysis](#).

- **Domestic Violent Extremism IJ (7.5 percent)**

As stated in the October 2020 DHS Homeland Threat Assessment, domestic violent extremists, including ideologically motivated lone offenders and small groups, present the most persistent and lethal terrorist threat to the Homeland. These violent extremists capitalize on social and political tensions, which have resulted in an elevated threat environment. They utilize social media platforms and other technologies to spread violent extremist ideologies that encourage violence and influence action within the United States. The COVID-19 pandemic has further created an environment that may lead to accelerated mobilization to targeted violence and/or radicalization to domestic terrorism, including driving lawful protests to incite violence, intimidate targets, and promote their violent extremist ideologies.

Given the rise of domestic violent extremism in recent years, at least one investment must be in support of the state's efforts to combat the rise, influence, and spread of domestic violent extremism. Additionally, the proposed investment must meet or exceed the FY 2021 national priority percentage for domestic violent extremism and will also be subject to DHS/FEMA's evaluation of the effectiveness of the proposed investments.

Please note that there currently is not a "Domestic Violent Extremism" Investment Type option in the Grant Reporting Tool (GRT). Instead applicants should select the "Standard" Investment Type and clearly name the IJ as "Domestic Violent Extremism Priority Area" to ensure it is appropriately accounted for during FEMA's administrative and effectiveness reviews.

- **Emerging Threats IJ (5 percent)**

The spread of rapidly evolving and innovative technology, equipment, techniques, and knowledge presents new and emerging dangers for homeland security in the years ahead. Terrorists, criminal actors, and foreign adversaries continue to utilize open source and other technologies to spread misinformation and sow discord in the United States. These actors also remain intent on acquiring WMD capabilities, and rogue nations and non-state actors are aggressively working to develop, acquire, and modernize WMDs that they could use against the Homeland. Meanwhile, biological and chemical materials and technologies with dual use capabilities are more accessible throughout the global market. Due to the proliferation of such information and technologies, rogue nations and non-state actors have more opportunities to develop, acquire, and use WMDs than ever before. Similarly, the proliferation of UASs, artificial intelligence, and biotechnology increase opportunities of threat actors to acquire and use these capabilities against the United States and its interests.

Given the increased risk of these emerging threats, at least one investment must be in support of the state's efforts to address emerging threats. Additionally, the proposed investment must meet or exceed the FY 2021 national priority percentage for emerging threats and will also be subject to DHS/FEMA's evaluation of the effectiveness of the proposed investments. Additional resources and information regarding emerging threats are available through the [Countering Weapons of Mass Destruction Office](#) and the [Cybersecurity and Infrastructure Security Agency](#).

IV. DEVELOPMENT OF INVESTMENTS AND PROJECTS: UASI

- Applicants must propose at least five and may include up to ten investments.
- Within each investment, urban areas must propose at least one project to describe the activities they are planning to implement with UASI funds. There is no limit to the number of projects that may be submitted.
- Required National Priority Area IJs must include the name of the priority in the investment name for easy identification.
- Of the proposed projects, urban areas are required to propose one single project, as part of the required intelligence and information sharing IJ, in support of a designated fusion center within the urban area, if applicable. Recipients must coordinate with the fusion center when developing a fusion center project prior to submission. See additional information on how to develop fusion center investments below and in the [Preparedness Grants Manual](#).
- All emergency communications investments must describe how such activities align with the needs identified in their SCIP. Recipients must coordinate with their SWIC and/or SIGB when developing an emergency communications investment prior to submission to ensure the project supports the statewide strategy to improve emergency communications and is compatible and interoperable with surrounding systems. The investment name must include the words “emergency communications” to easily identify any emergency communications investments.
- All requested funding must be associated with specific projects. For each project, several pieces of information must be provided to submit the project for consideration in the application, including:
 - Project name;
 - Project description
 - Subrecipient name, if applicable;
 - Recipient type (e.g., state or local);
 - Project location (zip code of the primary location of the project);
 - Primary core capability the project supports;
 - Whether the project activities are shareable and deployable; and
 - Which National Priority Area (if any) the project supports.
- Projects should describe how the proposed investment supports closing capability gaps or sustaining capabilities identified in the THIRA/SPR process.
- FEMA encourages states to use any DHS provided assessments, such as those performed by DHS’s Protective Security Advisors and Cybersecurity Advisors, when developing their IJs.

V. PRIORITY INVESTMENTS: UASI

High-risk urban areas are encouraged to review the [Strategic Framework for Countering Terrorism and Targeted Violence](#) when developing investments.

- **Cybersecurity IJ (7.5 percent)**
At least one investment must be in support of the urban area’s cybersecurity efforts. The investment must meet or exceed the FY 2021 national priority percentage for cybersecurity and will also be subject to DHS/FEMA’s evaluation of the effectiveness of the proposed investments. Cybersecurity investments must support the security and

functioning of critical infrastructure and core capabilities as they relate to preventing, preparing for, protecting against, or responding to acts of terrorism. Recipients and subrecipients of FY 2021 HSGP awards will be required to complete the 2021 [Nationwide Cybersecurity Review](#), enabling agencies to benchmark and measure progress of improving their cybersecurity posture. The CIO, CISO or equivalent for each recipient should complete the NCSR. If there is no CIO or CISO, the most senior cybersecurity professional should complete the assessment. The NCSR is available at no cost to the user and takes approximately 2- 3 hours to complete. The 2021 NCSR is estimated to be open from October – December 2021.

The NCSR is an annual requirement for recipients and subrecipients of HSGP funds. Additionally, FEMA recognizes that some subawards will not be issued until after the NCSR has closed. In such cases, such subrecipients will be required to complete the first available NCSR offered after the subaward has been issued by the pass-through entity. Although not required by SLTTs that did not receive HSGP funds, all SLTT agencies with preparedness responsibilities are highly encouraged to participate and complete the NCSR to evaluate their cybersecurity posture. For detailed information and background on the NCSR, please see [IB 439](#).

- **Soft Targets/Crowded Places IJ (5 percent)**

Soft targets and crowded places are increasingly appealing to terrorists and other extremist actors because of their relative accessibility and the large number of potential targets. This challenge is complicated by the prevalent use of simple tactics and less sophisticated attacks. Segments of our society are inherently open to the general public, and by nature of their purpose do not incorporate strict security measures. Given the increased emphasis by terrorists and other extremist actors to leverage less sophisticated methods to inflict harm in public areas, it is vital that the public and private sectors collaborate to enhance security of locations such as transportation centers, parks, restaurants, shopping centers, special event venues, and similar facilities.

Given the increased risk to soft targets and crowded places, at least one investment must be in support of the urban area's efforts to protect soft targets/crowded places. Additionally, the proposed investment must meet or exceed the FY 2021 national priority percentage for soft targets/crowded places and will also be subject to DHS/FEMA's evaluation of the effectiveness of the proposed investments. Additional resources and information regarding securing soft targets and crowded places are available through the [Cybersecurity and Infrastructure Security Agency](#).

- **Information and Intelligence Sharing and Cooperation IJ (5 percent)**

Effective homeland security operations rely on access to, analysis of, and timely sharing of open source, unclassified, and classified information, suspicious activity reports, tips/leads, and actionable intelligence on indicators and behaviors to accurately identify, assess, and mitigate a wide array of threats against the United States, including terrorism, threats to life, targeted violence, and other threats within the DHS mission space. Accordingly, DHS works diligently to enhance intelligence collection, integration, analysis, and information sharing capabilities to ensure partners, stakeholders, and senior

leaders receive actionable intelligence and information necessary to inform their decisions and operations. A critical and statutorily charged mission of DHS is to deliver intelligence and information to federal, state, local, and tribal governments and private sector partners. Cooperation and information sharing among state, federal, and local partners across all areas of the homeland security enterprise, including counterterrorism, – including both international and domestic terrorism, cybersecurity, transnational organized crime, economic security, border security, immigration enforcement, and other areas is critical to homeland security operations and the prevention of, preparation for, protection against, and responding to acts of terrorism, and other threats to life and criminal acts of targeted violence.

Given the importance of information sharing and collaboration to effective homeland security solutions, at least one investment must be in support of the urban area's efforts to enhance information sharing and cooperation with DHS and other federal agencies. As noted above, this requirement must include at least one dedicated fusion center project. Additional instructions on development of the fusion center project can be found below. Applicants must justify persuasively how they will contribute to the information sharing and collaboration purposes of the investment and a culture of national preparedness. Additionally, the proposed investment must meet or exceed the FY 2021 national priority percentage for information sharing and cooperation with DHS and will also be subject to DHS/FEMA's evaluation of the effectiveness of the proposed investments. Additional resources and information regarding collaboration and information sharing are available through the Department's [Office of Intelligence and Analysis](#).

- **Domestic Violent Extremism IJ (7.5 percent)**

As stated in the October 2020 DHS Homeland Threat Assessment, domestic violent extremists, including ideologically motivated lone offenders and small groups, present the most persistent and lethal terrorist threat to the Homeland. These violent extremists capitalize on social and political tensions, which have resulted in an elevated threat environment. They utilize social media platforms and other technologies to spread violent extremist ideologies that encourage violence and influence action within the United States. The COVID-19 pandemic has further created an environment that may lead to accelerated mobilization to targeted violence and/or radicalization to domestic terrorism, including driving lawful protests to incite violence, intimidate targets, and promote their violent extremist ideologies.

Given the rise of domestic violent extremism in recent years, at least one investment must be in support of the urban area's efforts to combat the rise, influence, and spread of domestic violent extremism. Additionally, the proposed investment must meet or exceed the FY 2021 national priority percentage for domestic violent extremism and will also be subject to DHS/FEMA's evaluation of the effectiveness of the proposed investments.

Please note that there currently is not a "Domestic Violent Extremism" Investment Type option in the GRT. Instead applicants should select the "Standard" Investment Type and clearly name the IJ as "Domestic Violent Extremism Priority Area" to ensure it is appropriately accounted for during FEMA's administrative and effectiveness reviews.

- **Emerging Threats IJ (5 percent)**

The spread of rapidly evolving and innovative technology, equipment, techniques, and knowledge presents new and emerging dangers for homeland security in the years ahead. Terrorists, criminal actors, and foreign adversaries continue to utilize open source and other technologies to spread misinformation and sow discord in the United States. These actors also remain intent on acquiring WMD capabilities, and rogue nations and non-state actors are aggressively working to develop, acquire, and modernize WMDs that they could use against the Homeland. Meanwhile, biological and chemical materials and technologies with dual use capabilities are more accessible throughout the global market. Due to the proliferation of such information and technologies, rogue nations and non-state actors have more opportunities to develop, acquire, and use WMDs than ever before. Similarly, the proliferation of UASs, artificial intelligence, and biotechnology increase opportunities of threat actors to acquire and use these capabilities against the United States and its interests.

Given the increased risk of these emerging threats, at least one investment must be in support of the urban area's efforts to address emerging threats. Additionally, the proposed investment must meet or exceed the FY 2021 national priority percentage for emerging threats and will also be subject to DHS/FEMA's evaluation of the effectiveness of the proposed investments. Additional resources and information regarding emerging threats are available through the [Countering Weapons of Mass Destruction Office](#) and the [Cybersecurity and Infrastructure Security Agency](#).

VI. DEVELOPMENT OF FUSION CENTER PROJECTS: SHSP AND UASI

If applicable, each applicant must identify a fusion center project that will:

- Indicate alignment to a designated Fusion Center;
- Provide both a brief narrative description and funding itemization for the proposed project activities that directly support the designated fusion center; and
- The descriptive narrative and the financial itemization should align improvement or sustainment requests with fusion center activities as they relate to the Fusion Center Performance Measures found in the [Preparedness Grants Manual](#).

Sample Fusion Center Funding Itemization

A sample project description and funding itemization are below. For the itemized projects, clearly identify the anticipated fusion center performance improvement or sustainment as a result of the proposed funding.

The X Fusion enhancement project will fund:

- *Salaries, benefits, and training for X number of Fusion Center intelligence analysts*
- *Travel costs associated with fusion center analyst training*
- *This project will directly sustain the Center's current capabilities and performance and directly aligns with performance measures 2021.XXX*
- *We anticipate seeing an improvement in the quality and quantity of analytic production and responses to requests for information as a direct result of the funding of this project*

The funding itemization for a fusion center project should include the amount and percent of each relevant solution area. As an example:

<i>Solution Area and Amount of Proposed Funding</i>	<i>Percent of Proposed Funding</i>
<i>Planning:</i> \$10,000	2%
<i>Organization:</i> \$200,000	48%
<i>Equipment:</i> \$200,000	48%
<i>Training:</i> \$10,000	2%
<i>Exercises:</i> \$0	0%
<i>Total:</i> \$420,000	100%

VII. COMPLETING IJS IN THE GRANT REPORTING TOOL (GRT): SHSP AND UASI

In the Related Documents section of the Grants.gov posting, applicants can find the IJ template and instructions for collecting the required information for investments and projects. Additionally, applicants should utilize the Project Worksheet located in Grants.gov posting to assemble the information required for each project, which will facilitate the input of that information into the GRT.

Applicants must ensure the appropriate National Priority Area “Investment Type” (*Overview Tab – Investment Information Section*) is selected for the corresponding National Priority Area (Cybersecurity, Soft Targets/Crowded Places, Information and Intelligence Sharing and Cooperation, and Emerging Threats). **Important note: there currently is not a “Domestic Violent Extremism” Investment Type option. Applicants should instead select the “Standard” Investment Type and clearly name the IJ as “Domestic Violent Extremism Priority Area” to ensure it is appropriately accounted for during FEMA’s administrative and effectiveness reviews.** All non-National Priority Area IJs should have the “Standard” Investment Type option selected.

VIII. DEVELOPMENT OF CONCEPT OF OPERATIONS FOR OPSG

As part of the FY 2021 OPSG application process, each eligible local unit of government at the county or federally recognized tribal government level must develop a strategic plan called a Concept of Operations (CONOP)/Application, which is a formal proposal of action to address a specific situation and forms the basis for Operations Orders, in coordination with state and federal law enforcement agencies, to include, but not limited to CBP/USBP. CONOPs that are developed at the county level should be inclusive of city, county, tribal, and other local law enforcement agencies that are eligible to participate in OPSG operational activities, and the CONOP/Application should describe participating agencies in the Executive Summary.

CONOP/Application details should include the names of the agencies, points of contact, and individual funding requests. All CONOPs/Applications must be developed in collaboration with the local USBP sector office, the SAA, and the local unit of government. Requests for funding in CONOPs/Applications must be based on risks and the operational enforcement support requirements of its corresponding USBP Sector, as well as the national priorities identified below. USBP Sector offices will forward the CONOPs to USBP Headquarters for

vetting and coordination. Applicants will forward corresponding OPSG Applications to the SAA for submission to FEMA. USBP Headquarters will reconcile all submitted CONOPs with the OPSG Applications. FEMA will review and evaluate all CONOPs and OPSG Applications and funding will be allocated based on the review and selection criteria identified in this NOFO.

OPSG Applicants will be required to clearly articulate and identify how the CONOPs will address the national priorities identified below.

- **Information and Intelligence Sharing and Cooperation**

Effective border security operations rely on access to, analysis of, and the timely sharing of open source, unclassified, and classified information, suspicious activity reports, tips/leads, and actionable intelligence on indicators and behaviors to accurately identify, assess, and mitigate a wide array of threats against the United States, including terrorism, threats to life, targeted violence, and other threats within the DHS mission space. Accordingly, DHS works diligently to enhance intelligence collection, integration, analysis, and information sharing capabilities to ensure partners, stakeholders, and senior leaders receive actionable intelligence and information necessary to inform their decisions and operations. One critical, statutorily required mission of DHS is to deliver intelligence and information to federal, state, local, and tribal governments and private sector partners. Cooperation and information sharing among state, federal, and local partners across all areas of the homeland security enterprise, including both international and domestic terrorism, cybersecurity, transnational organized crime, economic security, border security, immigration enforcement, and other areas is critical to homeland security operations and the prevention of, preparation for, protection against, and responding to acts of terrorism, and other threats to life and criminal acts of targeted violence.

Given the importance of information sharing and collaboration to effective homeland security solutions, the CONOP must support the recipient's efforts to enhance information sharing and cooperation with DHS and other federal agencies. Applicants must justify persuasively how they will contribute to the information sharing and collaboration purposes of the OPSG program and a culture of national preparedness. Additional resources and information regarding collaboration and information sharing are available through the Department's Office of Intelligence and Analysis.

- **Emerging Threats**

The spread of rapidly evolving and innovative technology, equipment, techniques, and knowledge presents new and emerging dangers for homeland security in the years ahead. Terrorists, criminal actors, and foreign adversaries continue to utilize open source and other technologies to spread misinformation and sow discord in the United States. These actors also remain intent on acquiring WMD capabilities, and rogue nations and non-state actors are aggressively working to develop, acquire, and modernize WMDs that they could use against the Homeland. Meanwhile, biological and chemical materials and technologies with dual use capabilities are more accessible throughout the global market. Due to the proliferation of such information and technologies, rogue nations and non-state actors have more opportunities to develop, acquire, and use WMDs

than ever before. Similarly, the proliferation of UASs, artificial intelligence, and biotechnology increase opportunities of threat actors to acquire and use these capabilities against the United States and its interests.

Given the increased risk of these emerging threats, the CONOP must be in support of the recipient's efforts to address emerging threats. Additional resources and information regarding emerging threats are available through the [Countering Weapons of Mass Destruction Office](#) and the [Cybersecurity and Infrastructure Security Agency](#).

IX. DETAILED Budget

Applicants must provide budget summary worksheets for all funds requested at the time of application. The budget summary worksheets must be complete, reasonable, and cost-effective in relation to the proposed project and should provide the basis of computation of all project-related costs (including management and administrative costs) and any appropriate narrative. FEMA must be able to thoroughly evaluate the projects being submitted based on the information provided. FEMA must be able to determine how much funding is being passed through to subrecipients for each sub-program (UASI, SHSP, OPSG). Consequently, applicants must provide an appropriate level of detail within the budget summary worksheets to clarify what will be purchased and spent. Sample budget summary worksheets are available on the grants.gov posting for the HSGP in the Related Documents tab and may be used as a guide to assist applicants in the preparation of budgets and budget narratives.

11. Other Submission Requirements

Emergency Communications Investments

If an entity uses HSGP funding to support emergency communications investments, the applicant must describe in the investment how proposed communications investments align to needs identified in their SCIP. Effective project alignment will require advance coordination with the SWIC and consultation with governing bodies such as the SIGB or Statewide Interoperability Executive Committee (SIEC), as they serve as the primary steering group for the statewide interoperability strategy. Additionally, recipients should consult subject matter experts serving on governance bodies, such as broadband experts, chief information officers, representatives from utilities, or legal and financial experts, when developing proposals.

12. Intergovernmental Review

An intergovernmental review may be required. Applicants must contact their state's Single Point of Contact (SPOC) to comply with the state's process under Executive Order 12372 (See <https://www.archives.gov/federal-register/codification/executive-order/12372.html>; <https://www.whitehouse.gov/wp-content/uploads/2020/04/SPOC-4-13-20.pdf>).

13. Funding Restrictions and Allowable Costs

All costs charged to awards covered by this NOFO must comply with the Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements at 2 C.F.R. Part 200, unless otherwise indicated in the NOFO, the terms and conditions of the award, or the Preparedness Grants Manual. This includes, among other requirements, that costs must be

incurred, and products and services must be delivered, within the period of performance of the award. *See* 2 C.F.R. § 200.403(h) (referring to budget periods, which for FEMA awards under this program is the same as the period of performance).

Federal funds made available through this award may be used for the purpose set forth in this NOFO, the [Preparedness Grants Manual](#), and the terms and conditions of the award and must be consistent with the statutory authority for the award. Award funds may not be used for matching funds for any other federal awards, lobbying, or intervention in federal regulatory or adjudicatory proceedings. In addition, federal funds may not be used to sue the Federal Government or any other government entity. See the [Preparedness Grants Manual](#) for more information on funding restrictions and allowable costs.

a. *Prohibitions on Expending FEMA Award Funds for Covered Telecommunications Equipment or Services*

Recipients and subrecipients of FEMA federal financial assistance are subject to the prohibitions described in section 889 of the [John S. McCain National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2019 \(FY 2019 NDAA\)](#), Pub. L. No. 115-232 (2018) and 2 C.F.R. §§ 200.216, 200.326, 200.471, and Appendix II to 2 C.F.R. Part 200. Beginning August 13, 2020, the statute – as it applies to FEMA recipients, subrecipients, and their contractors and subcontractors – prohibits obligating or expending federal award funds on certain telecommunications and video surveillance products and contracting with certain entities for national security reasons.

Additional guidance is available in FEMA Policy #405-143-1 [Prohibitions on Expending FEMA Award Funds for Covered Telecommunications Equipment or Services \(Interim\)](#).

Effective August 13, 2020, FEMA recipients and subrecipients **may not** use any FEMA funds under open or new awards to:

- (1) Procure or obtain any equipment, system, or service that uses covered telecommunications equipment or services as a substantial or essential component of any system, or as critical technology of any system;
- (2) Enter into, extend, or renew a contract to procure or obtain any equipment, system, or service that uses covered telecommunications equipment or services as a substantial or essential component of any system, or as critical technology of any system; or
- (3) Enter into, extend, or renew contracts with entities that use covered telecommunications equipment or services as a substantial or essential component of any system, or as critical technology as part of any system.

I. REPLACEMENT EQUIPMENT AND SERVICES

FEMA grant funding may be permitted to procure replacement equipment and services impacted by this prohibition, provided the costs are otherwise consistent with the requirements of the NOFO and the [Preparedness Grants Manual](#).

II. DEFINITIONS

Per section 889(f)(2)-(3) of the FY 2019 NDAA and 2 C.F.R. § 200.216, covered telecommunications equipment or services means:

- i. Telecommunications equipment produced by Huawei Technologies Company or ZTE Corporation, (or any subsidiary or affiliate of such entities);
- ii. For the purpose of public safety, security of Government facilities, physical security surveillance of critical infrastructure, and other national security purposes, video surveillance and telecommunications equipment produced by Hytera Communications Corporation, Hangzhou Hikvision Digital Technology Company, or Dahua Technology Company (or any subsidiary or affiliate of such entities);
- iii. Telecommunications or video surveillance services provided by such entities or using such equipment; or
- iv. Telecommunications or video surveillance equipment or services produced or provided by an entity that the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the Director of National Intelligence or the Director of the Federal Bureau of Investigation, reasonably believes to be an entity owned or controlled by, or otherwise connected to, the People's Republic of China.

Examples of the types of products covered by this prohibition include phones, internet, video surveillance, and cloud servers when produced, provided, or used by the entities listed in the definition of “covered telecommunications equipment or services.” *See* 2 C.F.R. § 200.471.

b. *Pre-Award Costs*

Pre-award costs are allowable only with the prior written approval of DHS/FEMA and as included in the award agreement. To request pre-award costs, a written request must be included with the application, signed by the AOR of the entity. The letter must outline what the pre-award costs are for, including a detailed budget break-out of pre-award costs from the post-award costs, and a justification for approval.

c. *Management and Administration (M&A) Costs*

Management and administration (M&A) activities are those directly relating to the management and administration of HSGP funds, such as financial management and monitoring. A maximum of up to five percent of HSGP funds awarded may be retained by the state, and any funds retained are to be used solely for M&A purposes associated with the HSGP award. Subrecipients may also retain a maximum of up to five percent of the funding passed through by the state solely for M&A purposes associated with the HSGP award.

Recipients or subrecipients may apply or credit M&A funding toward the recipient's requirement to allocate funding toward the five National Priority Areas. For example, if a recipient spends \$5,000 to manage or administer its funding dedicated toward its enhancing cybersecurity investment, the recipient may credit that funding toward its requirement to allocate at least 7.5 percent of its award to the enhancing cybersecurity National Priority Area.

A state's HSGP funds for M&A calculation purposes includes the total of its SHSP, UASI, and OPSG awards. While the SAA may retain up to five percent of this total for M&A, the state must still ensure that all subrecipient award amounts meet the mandatory minimum pass-through requirements that are applicable to each HSGP program. To meet this requirement, the percentage of SHSP and UASI funds passed through to local or tribal jurisdictions must be based on the state's total HSGP award prior to withholding any M&A.

In retaining these funds, states may retain a maximum of 2.5 percent of the OPSG allocation, which must be withheld from the pass-through to each subrecipient county or tribe in an equal percentage. The SAA may also retain additional funding from its SHSP award to manage and administer the OPSG award, but that additional amount is also capped at an amount equal to 2.5 percent of the OPSG award. Examples applying this principle:

SAA 1:

SHSP: \$1,000,000 OPSG: \$2,500,000 UASI: \$2,500,000

M&A Maximum: \$300,000 (5 percent of \$6,000,000)

Maximum M&A for SHSP = \$50,000

Maximum M&A for OPSG = \$125,000. Of that amount, \$62,500 (2.5 percent) may be retained from the OPSG allocation, and the other \$62,500 would come from the SHSP allocation. Any amount used to manage and administer OPSG that is charged to SHSP may be above and beyond the \$50,000 available to manage the SHSP allocation.

Maximum M&A for UASI = \$125,000

SAA 2:

SHSP: \$3,500,000 OPSG: \$1,000,000

M&A Maximum: \$225,000 (5 percent of \$4,500,000)

Maximum M&A for SHSP = \$175,000

Maximum M&A for OPSG = \$50,000. Of that amount, \$25,000 (2.5 percent) may be retained from the OPSG allocation, and the other \$25,000 would come from the SHSP allocation. Any amount used to manage and administer OPSG that is charged to SHSP may be above and beyond the \$175,000 available to manage the SHSP allocation.

HSGP recipients are also reminded that any M&A charged to a recipient's or subrecipient's UASI funding must be directly allocable to administration of the UASI grant program and cannot be used to cover M&A costs that are directly allocable to SHSP or OPSG funding. Similarly, any M&A charged to a recipient's or subrecipient's SHSP or OPSG funding cannot be used to cover M&A costs directly allocable to UASI funding.

Additionally, if a state/territory receives Nonprofit Security Grant Program (NSGP) funding, it may use SHSP M&A funding to cover M&A costs related to the management of NSGP-State awards, and UASI M&A funding to cover M&A costs related to the management of NSGP-Urban Area awards.

Please note, [IB 365: Management and Administration Costs in the Homeland Security Grant Program](#) and DHS/FEMA [Policy 207-087-1](#) **do not apply to awards made in FY 2021 under this NOFO**. Please also reference [IB 416](#) for additional clarification on OPSG M&A,

but to the extent that there is any conflict between IB 416 and this NOFO, the requirements of this NOFO will apply to FY 2021 awards made under this NOFO.

d. *Indirect Facilities & Administrative (F&A) Costs*

Indirect costs are allowable under this program as described in 2 C.F.R. Part 200, including 2 C.F.R. § 200.414. Applicants with a current negotiated indirect cost rate agreement that desire to charge indirect costs to an award must provide a copy of their negotiated indirect cost rate agreement at the time of application. Not all applicants are required to have a current negotiated indirect cost rate agreement. Applicants that are not required by 2 C.F.R. Part 200 to have a negotiated indirect cost rate agreement but are required by 2 C.F.R. Part 200 to develop an indirect cost rate proposal must provide a copy of their proposal at the time of application. Applicants who do not have a current negotiated indirect cost rate agreement (including a provisional rate) and wish to charge the de minimis rate must reach out to the FEMA Grants Management Specialist for further instructions. Applicants who wish to use a cost allocation plan in lieu of an indirect cost rate must also reach out to the FEMA Grants Management Specialist for further instructions. Post-award requests to charge indirect costs will be considered on a case-by-case basis and based upon the submission of an agreement or proposal as discussed above or based upon on the de minimis rate or cost allocation plan, as applicable.

f. *Funds Transfer Restriction*

The recipient is prohibited from transferring funds between programs (includes SHSP, UASI, and OPSG). Recipients can submit an investment/project where funds come from multiple funding sources (e.g., SHSP and UASI), however, recipients are not allowed to divert funding from one program to another due to the risk-based funding allocations, which were made at the discretion of DHS/FEMA.

e. *Other Direct Costs*

I. PLANNING

Planning costs are allowed under this program. Please see the [Preparedness Grants Manual](#) for more information.

II. ORGANIZATION

Organization costs are allowed under this program. Please see the [Preparedness Grants Manual](#) for more information.

III. EQUIPMENT

Equipment costs are allowed under this program. Please see the [Preparedness Grants Manual](#) for more information.

• General Purpose Equipment

HSGP allows expenditures on general purpose equipment if it aligns to and supports one or more core capabilities identified in the Goal and has a nexus to terrorism preparedness. General purpose equipment, like all equipment funded under the HSGP, must be sharable

through the EMAC² and allowable under 6 U.S.C. § 609, and any other applicable provision of the *Homeland Security Act of 2002*, as amended. Examples of such general-purpose equipment may include:

- Law enforcement vehicles;
 - Emergency medical services (EMS) equipment and vehicles;
 - Fire service equipment and vehicles, to include hose, pump accessories, and foam concentrate for specialized CBRNE response;
 - Interoperability of data systems, such as computer aided dispatch (CAD) and record management systems (RMS); and
 - Office equipment for staff³ engaged in homeland security program activity.
- **Controlled Equipment**
For decades, the federal government has provided equipment to state, local, and tribal law enforcement agencies (LEAs) through federal grants. Some federal grant programs have assisted LEAs as they carry out their critical missions to keep the American people safe. The equipment acquired by LEAs through these programs includes administrative equipment, such as office furniture and computers. Some federal grant programs also may include military and military-styled equipment, firearms, and tactical vehicles provided by the federal government, including property covered under 22 C.F.R. Part 121 and 15 C.F.R. Part 774 (collectively, "controlled equipment").

However, not all equipment that is considered controlled equipment is allowable under the HSGP. As discussed further below, there are certain "prohibited equipment" that are not allowable under HSGP. And for the procurement of certain controlled equipment that is allowable under the HSGP, there are additional submission requirements and reviews that must be met before DHS/FEMA will permit funding to be used for this purpose.

DHS/FEMA will continue to collaborate with federal agency partners to ensure that there is a consistent and reasonable approach to the restrictions placed on controlled equipment expenditures while continuing to support these investments when there is a justifiable need. Further, DHS/FEMA will continue to maintain an awareness of the evolving policy developments related to controlled equipment expenditures and keep grant recipients up to date on future developments.

Grant funds under this program may not be used for the purchase of equipment not approved by DHS/FEMA. The purchase of weapons and weapons accessories, including ammunition, is not allowed with HSGP funds. Grant funds under this program must also comply with [IB 426](#) and may not be used for the purchase of the following equipment: 1) firearms; 2)

² Except for American Samoa and the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands, which are not required to belong to EMAC at this time.

³ This applies to all homeland security personnel and is not limited to M&A staff, and costs are to be captured outside the cap on M&A costs

ammunition; 3) grenade launchers; 4) bayonets; or 5) weaponized aircraft, vessels, or vehicles of any kind with weapons installed.

IV. TRAINING

Training costs are allowed under this program. Please see the [Preparedness Grants Manual](#) for more information.

V. EXERCISES

Exercise costs are allowed under this program. Please see the [Preparedness Grants Manual](#) for more information.

VI. PERSONNEL

Personnel hiring, overtime, and backfill expenses are permitted under this grant to perform allowable HSGP planning, organization, training, exercise, and equipment activities. Under OPSG, overtime costs are allowable only in so far as they meet the intent of the program. All recipients and subrecipients of HSGP funds, including SHSP, UASI, and OPSG allocations, may not use more than 50 percent of their awards to pay for personnel activities unless a waiver is approved by FEMA. For more information on the 50 percent personnel cap, please see FEMA [IB 421b](#), Clarification on the *Personnel Reimbursement for Intelligence Cooperation and Enhancement of Homeland Security Act of 2008* (Public Law 110-412) – the PRICE Act. Please see the [Preparedness Grants Manual](#) for more information.

VII. OPERATIONAL OVERTIME

Operational overtime costs are allowed under this program. Prior to use of funds for operational overtime, recipients must receive approval from DHS/FEMA. Operational overtime costs are also subject to the 50 percent personnel cap. Please see the [Preparedness Grants Manual](#) for more information.

VIII. TRAVEL

Domestic travel costs are allowed under this program, as provided for in this NOFO and in the [Preparedness Grants Manual](#). International travel is not an allowable cost under this program unless approved in advance by DHS/FEMA.

IX. CONSTRUCTION AND RENOVATION

Construction and renovation costs to achieve capability targets related to preventing, preparing for, protecting against, or responding to acts of terrorism are allowed under this program. For construction and renovation costs to be allowed, they must be specifically approved by DHS/FEMA in writing prior to the use of any program funds. Applicants must use the Environmental Planning and Historical Preservation (EHP) approval process. Limits on the total amount of grant funding that may be used for construction or renovation may apply. Additionally, recipients are required to submit [SF-424C and SF-424D](#). Please see the [Preparedness Grants Manual](#) for more information.

X. MAINTENANCE AND SUSTAINMENT

Maintenance- and sustainment-related costs, such as maintenance contracts, warranties, repair or replacement costs, upgrades, and user fees, are allowable. Please see the

[Preparedness Grants Manual](#) for more information.

XI. CRITICAL EMERGENCY SUPPLIES

Critical emergency supplies are allowed under this program. Please see the [Preparedness Grants Manual](#) for more information.

XII. SECURE IDENTIFICATION

Secure Identification costs are allowed under this program. Please see the [Preparedness Grants Manual](#) for more information.

Allowable Cost Matrix

The following matrix provides allowable cost activities that fall under each of the cost categories noted above. Recipients and subrecipients must follow all applicable requirements in 2 C.F.R. Part 200 *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards*. HSGP funds may be used to cover the costs for evaluating the impact of these grants on the state or urban area's core capabilities and capability gaps. This list is not exhaustive, therefore, if there are any questions regarding allowable costs, please contact the appropriate HQ FEMA Preparedness Officer. For additional information on allowable costs, see the [Preparedness Grants Manual](#).

Allowable Program Activities	SHSP	UASI	OPSG
Allowable Planning Costs			
Developing hazard/threat-specific annexes	Y	Y	N
Developing and implementing homeland security support programs and adopting ongoing DHS/FEMA national initiatives	Y	Y	N
Developing related terrorism and other catastrophic event prevention activities	Y	Y	N
Developing and enhancing plans and protocols	Y	Y	N
Developing or conducting assessments	Y	Y	N
Hiring of full- or part-time staff or contract/consultants to assist with planning activities	Y	Y	N
Materials required to conduct planning activities	Y	Y	N
Travel/per diem related to planning activities	Y	Y	Y
Overtime and backfill costs (in accordance with operational Cost Guidance)	Y	Y	Y
Issuance of Western Hemisphere Travel Initiative-compliant Tribal identification cards	Y	N	N
Activities to achieve planning inclusive of people with disabilities and others with access and functional needs and limited English proficiency.	Y	Y	N
Coordination with Citizen Corps Councils for public information/education and development of volunteer programs	Y	Y	N
Update governance structures and processes and plans for emergency communications	Y	Y	N
Development, and review and revision of continuity of operations plans	Y	Y	N
Development, and review and revision of the THIRA/SPR continuity of operations plans	Y	Y	N
Allowable Organizational Activities			
Note: Personnel hiring, overtime, and backfill expenses are permitted under this grant only to the extent that such expenses are for the allowable activities within the scope of the grant.			
Program management	Y	Y	N
Development of whole community partnerships	Y	Y	N
Structures and mechanisms for information sharing between the public and private sector	Y	Y	N

Allowable Program Activities	SHSP	UASI	OPSG
Implementing models, programs, and workforce enhancement initiatives	Y	Y	N
Tools, resources, and activities that facilitate shared situational awareness between the public and private sectors	Y	Y	N
Operational support	Y	Y	N
Utilization of standardized resource management concepts	Y	Y	N
Responding to an increase in the threat level under the National Terrorism Advisory System (NTAS), or needs in resulting from a National Special Security Event	Y	Y	N
Reimbursement for select operational expenses associated with increased security measures at critical infrastructure sites incurred (up to 50 percent of the allocation)	Y	Y	Y
Overtime for information, investigative, and intelligence sharing activities (up to 50 percent of the allocation)	Y	Y	Y
Hiring of new staff positions/contractors/consultants for participation in information/intelligence analysis and sharing groups or fusion center activities (up to 50 percent of the allocation).	Y	Y	Y
Cost of migrating online services to the “.gov” domain	Y	Y	N
Allowable Equipment Categories			
Personal Protective Equipment	Y	Y	Y
Allowable Equipment Categories			
Explosive Device Mitigation and Remediation Equipment	Y	Y	N
CBRNE Operational Search and Rescue Equipment	Y	Y	N
Information Technology	Y	Y	Y
Cybersecurity Enhancement Equipment	Y	Y	N
Interoperable Communications Equipment	Y	Y	Y
Detection	Y	Y	Y
Decontamination	Y	Y	N
Medical countermeasures	Y	Y	Y
Power (e.g., generators, batteries, power cells)	Y	Y	Y
CBRNE Reference Materials	Y	Y	N
CBRNE Incident Response Vehicles	Y	Y	N
Terrorism Incident Prevention Equipment	Y	Y	Y
Physical Security Enhancement Equipment	Y	Y	Y
Inspection and Screening Systems	Y	Y	Y
Animal Care and Foreign Animal Disease	Y	Y	N
CBRNE Prevention and Response Watercraft	Y	Y	N
CBRNE Prevention and Response Unmanned Aircraft	Y	Y	N
CBRNE Aviation Equipment	Y	Y	N
CBRNE Logistical Support Equipment	Y	Y	N
Intervention Equipment (e.g., tactical entry, crime scene processing)	Y	Y	Y
Critical emergency supplies	Y	Y	N
Vehicle acquisition, lease, and rental	N	N	Y
Other Authorized Equipment	Y	Y	Y
Allowable Training Costs			
Overtime and backfill for emergency preparedness and response personnel attending DHS/FEMA-sponsored and approved training classes	Y	Y	N
Overtime and backfill expenses for part-time and volunteer emergency response personnel participating in DHS/FEMA training	Y	Y	N
Training workshops and conferences	Y	Y	Y
Activities to achieve training inclusive of people with disabilities and others with access and functional needs and limited English proficiency	Y	Y	N
Full- or part-time staff or contractors/consultants	Y	Y	Y
Travel	Y	Y	Y

Allowable Program Activities	SHSP	UASI	OPSG
Supplies	Y	Y	N
Instructor certification/re-certification	Y	Y	N
Coordination with Citizen Corps Councils in conducting training exercises	Y	Y	N
Interoperable communications training	Y	Y	N
Activities to achieve planning inclusive of people with limited English proficiency	Y	Y	N
Immigration enforcement training	Y	Y	Y
Allowable Exercise Related Costs			
Design, Develop, Conduct, and Evaluate an Exercise	Y	Y	N
Full- or part-time staff or contractors/consultants	Y	Y	N
Overtime and backfill costs, including expenses for part-time and volunteer emergency response personnel participating in DHS/FEMA exercises	Y	Y	N
Implementation of HSEEP	Y	Y	N
Activities to achieve exercises inclusive of people with disabilities and others with access and functional needs	Y	Y	N
Travel	Y	Y	N
Supplies	Y	Y	N
Interoperable communications exercises	Y	Y	N
Allowable Exercise Related Costs			
Activities to achieve planning inclusive of people with limited English proficiency	Y	Y	N
Allowable M&A Costs			
Hiring of full- or part-time staff or contractors/consultants to assist with the management of the respective grant program, application requirements, and compliance with reporting and data collection requirements	Y	Y	Y
Development of operating plans for information collection and processing necessary to respond to DHS/FEMA data calls	Y	Y	Y
Overtime and backfill costs	Y	Y	Y
Travel	Y	Y	Y
Meeting related expenses	Y	Y	Y
Authorized office equipment	Y	Y	Y
Recurring expenses such as those associated with cell phones and faxes during the period of performance of the grant program	Y	Y	N
Leasing or renting of space for newly hired personnel during the period of performance of the grant program	Y	Y	N
LETPA Costs			
Integration and interoperability of systems and data, such as CAD and RMS, to facilitate the collection,	Y	Y	N
Maturation, enhancement, and sustainment of designated state and major Urban Area fusion centers	Y	Y	N
Coordination between fusion centers and other operational analytic, and investigative efforts	Y	Y	N
Implementation, maintenance, and sustainment of the Nationwide Suspicious Activity Reporting Initiative	Y	Y	N
Implementation of the "If You See Something, Say Something®" campaign	Y	Y	N
Increase physical security, through law enforcement personnel and other protective measures, by implementing preventive and protective measures at critical	Y	Y	N
Building and sustaining preventive radiological and nuclear detection capabilities	Y	Y	N

E. Application Review Information

1. Application Evaluation Criteria

a. Programmatic Criteria

I. RISK METHODOLOGY

The risk methodology determines the relative risk of terrorism faced by a given area considering the potential risk of terrorism to people, critical infrastructure, and economic security. The analysis includes, but is not limited to, threats from violent domestic extremists, international terrorist groups, and individuals inspired by terrorists abroad. See the [Preparedness Grants Manual](#) for additional information on the risk methodology.

NOTE: The THIRA/SPR process is separate from the risk methodology and its results do not affect grant allocations.

The Risk Methodology is used to inform allocations under HSGP. For more information on the SHSP, UASI, and OPSG allocation processes, please see Section B.1 of this NOFO, “Available Funding for the NOFO.”

II. APPLICATION EVALUATION CRITERIA

FEMA will evaluate the FY 2021 HSGP applications for completeness, adherence to programmatic guidelines, and anticipated effectiveness of the proposed investments. FEMA’s review will include verification that each IJ and project:

- Aligns with at least one core capability identified in the Goal;
- Demonstrates how investments support closing capability gaps or sustaining capabilities identified in the THIRA/SPR process; and
- Supports a NIMS-typed resource and whether those assets are deployable/shareable to support emergency or disaster operations per existing EMAC agreements.

In addition to the above, FEMA will evaluate whether proposed projects are: 1) both feasible and effective at reducing the risks for which the project was designed; and 2) able to be fully completed within the three-year period of performance. FEMA will use the information provided in the application and after the submission of the first BSIR to determine the feasibility and effectiveness of a grant project. To that end, IJs should include:

- An explanation of how the proposed project(s) will achieve objectives as identified in the SPR, including expected long-term impact where applicable, and which core capability gap(s) it helps to close and how;
- A summary of the status of planning and design efforts accomplished to date (e.g., included in a capital improvement plan); and
- A project schedule with clear milestones.

Recipients are expected to conform, as applicable, with accepted engineering practices, established codes, standards, modeling techniques, and best practices, and participate in the development of case studies demonstrating the effective use of grant funds, as requested.

FEMA will also review any submitted National Priority Area-aligned IJs and projects to ensure they meet the minimum spend requirement. Further information on how the National Priority Area IJs and projects will be reviewed for effectiveness is included in the Review and Selection Process section below.

b. *Financial Integrity Criteria*

Prior to making a federal award, FEMA is required by 31 U.S.C. § 3354, as amended by the Payment Integrity Information Act of 2019, Pub. L. No. 116-117 (2020); 41 U.S.C. § 2313; and 2 C.F.R. § 200.206 to review information available through any Office of Management and Budget (OMB)-designated repositories of governmentwide eligibility qualification or financial integrity information, including whether the applicant is suspended or debarred. FEMA may also pose additional questions to the applicant to aid in conducting the pre-award risk review. Therefore, application evaluation criteria may include the following risk-based considerations of the applicant:

- i. Financial stability.
- ii. Quality of management systems and ability to meet management standards.
- iii. History of performance in managing federal award.
- iv. Reports and findings from audits.
- v. Ability to effectively implement statutory, regulatory, or other requirements.

c. *Supplemental Financial Integrity Criteria and Review*

Prior to making a federal award where the anticipated total federal share will be greater than the simplified acquisition threshold, currently \$250,000:

- i. FEMA is required to review and consider any information about the applicant, including information on the applicant's immediate and highest-level owner, subsidiaries, and predecessors, if applicable, that is in the designated integrity and performance system accessible through the System for Award Management (SAM), which is currently the [Federal Awardee Performance and Integrity Information System](#) (FAPIIS).
- ii. An applicant, at its option, may review information in FAPIIS and comment on any information about itself that a federal awarding agency previously entered.
- iii. FEMA will consider any comments by the applicant, in addition to the other information in FAPIIS, in making a judgment about the applicant's integrity, business ethics, and record of performance under federal awards when completing the review of risk posed by applicants as described in 2 C.F.R. § 200.206.

2. *Review and Selection Process*

a. *SHSP and UASI*

All proposed investments will undergo a federal review by DHS/FEMA to verify compliance with all administrative and eligibility criteria identified in the NOFO. The federal review will be conducted by FEMA HQ Preparedness Officers. FEMA HQ Preparedness Officers will use a checklist to verify compliance with all administrative and eligibility criteria identified in the NOFO. Recipients must be able to demonstrate how investments support closing capability gaps or sustaining capabilities identified in the THIRA/SPR process. IJs will be reviewed at both the investment and project level.

Emergency communications investments will be jointly reviewed by FEMA and the DHS Office of Emergency Communications (OEC) to verify compliance with SAFECOM guidance. FEMA and OEC will coordinate directly with the recipient on any compliance concerns and will provide technical assistance as necessary to help ensure full compliance.

Additional Effectiveness Evaluation Criteria for the National Priority Areas

FEMA will evaluate the FY 2021 HSGP IJs and projects submitted in support of the National Priority Areas for anticipated effectiveness. FEMA's review will include verification that each IJ or project meets the National Priority Area required spend percentages.

Cybersecurity investments will be reviewed by DHS/FEMA, CISA, and other DHS components as appropriate, for compliance with purposes and requirements of the priority investment area. Proposed investments will be reviewed for effectiveness using the criteria set forth in this NOFO.

Soft Targets/Crowded Places investments will be reviewed by DHS/FEMA, CISA, and other DHS components as appropriate, for compliance with purposes and requirements of the priority investment area. Proposed investments will be reviewed for effectiveness using the criteria set forth in this NOFO.

Information Sharing and Cooperation Investments will be reviewed by DHS/FEMA, DHS Office of Intelligence and Analysis, and other DHS components as appropriate, for compliance with purposes and requirements of the priority investment area. Proposed investments will be reviewed for effectiveness using the criteria set forth in this NOFO.

For additional information on Fusion Center requirements, please see the [Preparedness Grants Manual](#).

Domestic violent extremism investments will be reviewed by DHS/FEMA, DHS Office of Intelligence and Analysis, and other DHS components as appropriate, for compliance with purposes and requirements of the priority investment area. Proposed investments will be reviewed for effectiveness using the scoring criteria set forth in this NOFO.

Emerging threats investments will be reviewed by DHS/FEMA, DHS Countering Weapons of Mass Destruction Office, and other DHS components as appropriate, for compliance with purposes and requirements of the priority investment area. Proposed investments will be reviewed for effectiveness using the criteria set forth in this NOFO.

FEMA will determine whether the proposed approach is clear, logical, and reasonable to address the priority areas of interest and contribute to a culture of national preparedness. This part considers factors such as the objectives and strategies proposed to address the priority area, how the objectives and strategies overcome legal, political, or practical obstacles to reduce overall risk, the process and criteria to select additional relevant projects, and the approach to monitor awards to satisfy the funding percentage allocations.

For applicants that elect to submit IJs and project-level details for the National Priority Areas at the time of application, effectiveness will be evaluated prior to award. If the projects are found to not sufficiently align with the National Priority Area(s), applicants may have funds placed on hold (up to 30 percent) until the projects are revised to satisfactorily address the National Priority Areas.

For applicants that elect to submit IJs and project-level details for the National Priority Areas as part of the December 2021 BSIR, they will have funds placed on hold in the amount of 30 percent (the sum of all National Priority Area). The hold will be released only after their December 2021 BSIR submission has been reviewed, and projects related to the National Priority Areas deemed in alignment by DHS/FEMA.

SAAs are still required to meet pass-through requirements even if funds are on hold related to the National Priority Areas.

To that end, IJs should include:

- How the proposed investment addresses the National Priority Area;
- An explanation of how the proposed projects were selected and will achieve objectives and strategies to build or sustain the core capability gaps identified in the SPR, including expected long-term impact where applicable;
- A summary of laws, policies and practices that can be enhanced, eliminated, or otherwise changed in order to achieve the goals of the project and foster a culture of national preparedness; and
- A summary of the collaboration efforts to prevent, prepare for, protect against, and respond to acts of terrorism as well as anticipated outcomes of the project.

For FY 2021 SHSP and UASI investments and projects related to the National Priority Areas, effectiveness will be evaluated based on the following five factors:

- Investment Strategy (30%): Proposals will be evaluated based on the quality and extent to which applicants describe an effective strategy that demonstrates that proposed projects support the program objective of preventing, preparing for, protecting against, and responding to acts of terrorism, to meet its target capabilities, and otherwise reduce the overall risk to the high-risk urban area, the state, or the Nation.
- Budget (10%): Proposals will be evaluated based on the extent to which applicants describe a budget plan for each investment demonstrating how the applicant will maximize cost effectiveness of grant expenditures.
- Impact/Outcomes (30%): Proposals will be evaluated on how this investment helps the jurisdiction close capability gaps identified in its SPR and addresses the relevant National Priority Area outlined in this NOFO. Further, proposals will be evaluated on their identification and estimated improvement of core capability(ies), the associated standardized target(s) that align with their proposed investment, and the ways in which the applicant will measure and/or evaluate improvement.

- Collaboration (30%): Proposals will be evaluated based on the degree to which the proposal adequately details how the recipient will use investments and other means to overcome existing logistical, technological, legal, policy, and other impediments to collaborating, networking, sharing information, cooperating, and fostering a culture of national preparedness with federal, state, tribal, and local governments, as well as other regional and nonprofit partners. Collaboration should improve efforts to prevent, prepare for, protect against, and respond to acts of terrorism, to meet target capabilities, support the national security mission of DHS and other federal agencies, and to otherwise reduce the overall risk to the high-risk urban area, the state, or the Nation. In evaluating applicants under this factor FEMA will consider the information provided by the applicant and may also consider relevant information from other sources.
- Past Performance (additional consideration): Proposals will be evaluated based on the applicants demonstrated capability to execute the proposed investments. In evaluating applicants under this factor FEMA will consider the information provided by the applicant and may also consider relevant information from other sources.

b. *OPSG*

Applications will be reviewed by the SAA and USBP Sector Headquarters for completeness and adherence to programmatic guidelines and evaluated for anticipated feasibility, need, and impact of the Operations Orders. For more information on Operations Orders and other requirements of OPSG, see the [Preparedness Grants Manual](#).

DHS/FEMA will verify compliance with all administrative and eligibility criteria identified in the NOFO and required submission of Operations Orders and Inventory of Operations Orders by the established due dates. DHS/FEMA and USBP will use the results of both the risk analysis and the federal review by DHS/FEMA to make recommendations for funding to the Secretary of Homeland Security.

FY 2021 OPSG funds will be allocated competitively based on risk-based prioritization using the OPSG Risk Assessment described above. Final funding allocations are determined by the Secretary of Homeland Security, who may consider information and input from various law enforcement offices or subject-matter experts within the Department. Factors considered include, but are not limited to threat, vulnerability, miles of the border, and other border-specific law enforcement intelligence, as well as the feasibility of FY 2021 Operations Orders to designated localities within border states and territories.

F. Federal Award Administration Information

1. **Notice of Award**

Before accepting the award, the AOR and recipient should carefully read the award package. The award package includes instructions on administering the grant award and the terms and conditions associated with responsibilities under federal awards. **Recipients must accept all conditions in this NOFO and the [Preparedness Grants Manual](#) as well as any specific terms and conditions in the Notice of Award to receive an award under this program.**

See the [Preparedness Grants Manual](#) for information on Notice of Award.

2. Pass-Through Requirements

Awards made to the SAA for HSGP carry additional pass-through requirements. Pass-through is defined as an obligation on the part of the SAA to make funds available to local units of government, combinations of local units, tribal governments, or other specific groups or organizations. Four requirements must be met to pass-through grant funds:

- The SAA must make a firm written commitment to passing through grant funds to subrecipients;
- The SAA's commitment must be unconditional (i.e., no contingencies for the availability of SAA funds);
- There must be documentary evidence (i.e., award document, terms, and conditions) of the commitment; and
- The award terms must be communicated to the subrecipient.

Timing and Amount

The SAA must pass-through at least 80 percent of the funds awarded under the SHSP and UASI to local or tribal units of government within 45 calendar days of receipt of the funds. "Receipt of the funds" occurs either when the SAA accepts the award or 15 calendar days after the SAA receives notice of the award, whichever is earlier.

SAA's are sent notification of HSGP awards via the GPD's ND Grants system. If an SAA accepts its award within 15 calendar days of receiving notice of the award in the ND Grants system, the 45-calendar days pass-through period will start on the date the SAA accepted the award. Should an SAA not accept the HSGP award within 15 calendar days of receiving notice of the award in the ND Grants system, the 45-calendar days pass-through period will begin 15 calendar days after the award notification is sent to the SAA via the ND Grants system.

It is important to note that the period of performance start date does not directly affect the start of the 45-calendar days pass-through period. For example, an SAA may receive notice of the HSGP award on September 20, 2021, while the period of performance dates for that award are October 1, 2021, through September 30, 2024. In this example, the 45-day pass-through period will begin on the date the SAA accepts the HSGP award or October 5, 2021 (15 calendar days after the SAA was notified of the award), whichever date occurs first. The period of performance start date of October 1, 2021 would not affect the timing of meeting the 45-calendar day pass-through requirement.

Other SHSP and UASI Pass-Through Requirements

The signatory authority of the SAA must certify in writing to DHS/FEMA that pass-through requirements have been met. A letter of intent (or equivalent) to distribute funds is not considered sufficient. The pass-through requirement does not apply to SHSP awards made to the District of Columbia, Guam, American Samoa, the U.S. Virgin Islands, or the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands. The Commonwealth of Puerto Rico is required to comply with the pass-through requirement, and its SAA must also obligate at least 80 percent of the funds to local units of government within 45 calendar days of receipt of the funds.

Under SHSP, the SAA may retain more than 20 percent of funding for expenditures made by the state on behalf of the local unit(s) of government. This may occur only with the written consent of the local unit of government, specifying the amount of funds to be retained and the intended use of funds. States shall review their written consent agreements yearly and ensure that they are still valid. If a written consent agreement is already in place from previous fiscal years, DHS/FEMA will continue to recognize it for FY 2021, unless the written consent review indicates the local government is no longer in agreement. If modifications to the existing agreement are necessary, the SAA should contact their assigned FEMA HQ Preparedness Officer.

Additional OPSG Requirements

The recipient is prohibited from obligating or expending funds provided through this award until each unique and specific county-level or equivalent Operational Order/Fragmentary Operations Order budget has been reviewed and approved through an official electronic mail notice issued by DHS/FEMA removing this special programmatic condition.

3. Administrative and National Policy Requirements

In addition to the requirements of in this section and in this NOFO, FEMA may place specific terms and conditions on individual awards in accordance with 2 C.F.R. Part 200.

In addition to the information regarding DHS Standard Terms and Conditions and Ensuring the Protection of Civil Rights, see the [Preparedness Grants Manual](#) for additional information on administrative and national policy requirements, including the following:

- EHP Compliance
- FirstNet
- NIMS Implementation
- SAFECOM

a. *DHS Standard Terms and Conditions*

All successful applicants for DHS grant and cooperative agreements are required to comply with DHS Standard Terms and Conditions, which are available online at [DHS Standard Terms and Conditions](#).

The applicable DHS Standard Terms and Conditions will be those in effect at the time the award was made. What terms and conditions will apply for the award will be clearly stated in the award package at the time of award.

b. *Ensuring the Protection of Civil Rights*

As the Nation works towards achieving the [National Preparedness Goal](#), it is important to continue to protect the civil rights of individuals. Recipients and subrecipients must carry out their programs and activities, including those related to the building, sustainment, and delivery of core capabilities, in a manner that respects and ensures the protection of civil rights for protected populations.

Federal civil rights statutes, such as Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 and Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, along with FEMA regulations, prohibit discrimination on the basis of race, color, national origin, sex, religion, age, disability, limited English proficiency, or economic status in connection with programs and activities receiving [federal financial assistance](#) from FEMA.

The DHS Standard Terms and Conditions include a fuller list of the civil rights provisions that apply to recipients. These terms and conditions can be found in the [DHS Standard Terms and Conditions](#). Additional information on civil rights provisions is available at <https://www.fema.gov/about/offices/equal-rights>.

Monitoring and oversight requirements in connection with recipient compliance with federal civil rights laws are also authorized pursuant to 44 C.F.R. Part 7.

c. *EHP Compliance*

As a federal agency, FEMA is required to consider the effects of its actions on the environment and historic properties to ensure that all activities and programs funded by FEMA, including grant-funded projects, comply with federal EHP laws, Executive Orders, regulations, and policies, as applicable.

Recipients and subrecipients proposing projects that have the potential to impact the environment, including, but not limited to, the construction of communication towers, modification or renovation of existing buildings, structures, and facilities, or new construction including replacement of facilities, must participate in the FEMA EHP review process. The EHP review process involves the submission of a detailed project description along with any supporting documentation requested by FEMA in order to determine whether the proposed project has the potential to impact environmental resources or historic properties.

In some cases, FEMA is also required to consult with other regulatory agencies and the public in order to complete the review process. Federal law requires EHP review to be completed before federal funds are released to carry out proposed projects. FEMA may not be able to fund projects that are not in compliance with applicable EHP laws, Executive Orders, regulations, and policies.

DHS and FEMA EHP policy is found in directives and instructions available on the [FEMA.gov EHP page](#), the FEMA website page that includes documents regarding EHP responsibilities and program requirements, including implementation of the National Environmental Policy Act and other EHP regulations and Executive Orders.

The GPD EHP screening form is located at <https://www.fema.gov/media-library/assets/documents/90195>. Additionally, all recipients under this funding opportunity are required to comply with the FEMA GPD EHP Policy Guidance, FEMA Policy #108-023-1, available at <https://www.fema.gov/media-library/assets/documents/85376>.

d. NIMS Implementation

In expending funds under this program, recipients that are state, local, tribal, or territorial governments must ensure and maintain adoption and implementation of NIMS. The state, local, tribal, or territorial government must show adoption of NIMS during any point of the period of performance. The list of objectives used for progress and achievement reporting is at <https://www.fema.gov/emergency-managers/nims/implementation-training>.

Emergency management and incident response activities require carefully managed resources (personnel, teams, facilities, equipment, and/or supplies) to meet incident needs. Using standardized resource management concepts such as typing, credentialing, and inventorying, promote a strong national mutual aid capability needed to support delivery of core capabilities. Additional information on resource management, NIMS resource typing definitions, job titles, and position qualifications is on FEMA's website at <https://www.fema.gov/emergency-managers/nims/components>.

FEMA developed the [National Incident Management System Guideline for the National Qualification System](#) to describe national credentialing standards and to provide written guidance regarding the use of those standards. This guideline describes credentialing and typing processes and identifies tools which Federal Emergency Response Officials and emergency managers at all levels of government may use both routinely and to facilitate multijurisdictional coordinated responses.

Although state, local, tribal, and private sector partners (including nongovernmental organizations) are not required to credential their personnel in accordance with these guidelines, FEMA strongly encourages them to do so to leverage the federal investment in the Federal Information Processing Standards 201 infrastructure and to facilitate interoperability for personnel deployed outside their home jurisdiction.

Additional information about NIMS in general is available at <https://www.fema.gov/emergency-managers/nims>.

e. Emergency Communications Investments

If an entity uses HSGP funding to support emergency communications investments, the following requirements shall apply to all such grant-funded communications investments in support of the emergency communications priorities and recognized best practices:

- The signatory authority for the SAA must certify in writing to DHS/FEMA their compliance with the *SAFECOM Guidance*. The certification letter should be coordinated with the SWIC for each state and must be uploaded to ND Grants at the time of the first Program Performance Report (PPR) submission.
- All states and territories must designate a full-time SWIC who has the authority and resources to actively improve interoperability with emergency management and response agencies across all levels of government, to include establishing statewide plans, policies, and procedures, and coordinating decisions on communications investments funded through federal grants. Note that the designated full-time SWIC may also be the state's or territory's cybersecurity point of contact. SWIC status

information will be maintained by CISA and will be verified by FEMA GPD through programmatic monitoring activities.

- By the period of performance end date, all states and territories must update the SCIP, with a focus on communications resilience/continuity, to include assessment and mitigation of all potential risks identified in the SCIP: natural disasters, accidental damage (human failures), intentional damage (sabotage, terrorism), cybersecurity, etc. Following the initial update, the SCIP should be updated on an annual basis. SCIP status information will be maintained by CISA and will be verified by FEMA GPD through programmatic monitoring activities.

All states and territories must test their emergency communications capabilities and procedures (as outlined in their operational communications plans) in conjunction with regularly planned exercises (separate/addition emergency communications exercises are not required) and must submit an After Action Report/Improvement Plan (AAR/IP) to the Homeland Security Exercise and Evaluation Program's (HSEEP) electronic message inbox at hseep@fema.gov within 90 days of exercise completion. Exercises should be used to both demonstrate and validate skills learned in training and to identify gaps in capabilities. Resilience and continuity of communications should be tested during training and exercises to the greatest extent possible. Further, exercises should include participants from multiple jurisdictions, disciplines, and levels of government and include emergency management, emergency medical services, law enforcement, interoperability coordinators, public health officials, hospital officials, officials from colleges and universities, and other disciplines and private sector entities, as appropriate. Findings from exercises should be used to update programs to address gaps in emergency communications as well as emerging technologies, policies, and partners. Recipients are encouraged to increase awareness and availability of emergency communications exercise opportunities across all levels of government.

States, territories, and other eligible grant recipients are advised that HSGP funding may be used to support communications planning (including the cost of hiring a SWIC, participation in governance bodies and requirements delineated above), training, exercises, and equipment costs. Costs for transitioning to the FirstNet network may also be eligible. More information regarding FirstNet can be found in the [Preparedness Grants Manual](#).

4. Reporting

Recipients are required to submit various financial and programmatic reports as a condition of award acceptance. Future awards and funds drawdown may be withheld if these reports are delinquent.

See the [Preparedness Grants Manual](#) for information on reporting requirements, as well as the above section, "Emergency Communications Investments," specific reporting requirements for emergency communications investments.

5. Monitoring and Oversight

Per 2 C.F.R. § 200.337, FEMA, through its authorized representatives, has the right, at all reasonable times, to make site visits or conduct desk reviews to review project accomplishments and management control systems to review award progress and to provide

any required technical assistance. During site visits or desk reviews, FEMA will review recipients' files related to the award. As part of any monitoring and program evaluation activities, recipients must permit FEMA, upon reasonable notice, to review grant-related records and to interview the organization's staff and contractors regarding the program. Recipients must respond in a timely and accurate manner to FEMA requests for information relating to the award.

See the [Preparedness Grants Manual](#) for information on monitoring and oversight.

G. DHS Awarding Agency Contact Information

1. Contact and Resource Information

a. *Program Office Contact*

FEMA has assigned state-specific Preparedness Officers for the HSGP. If you do not know your Preparedness Officer, please contact CSID by phone at (800) 368-6498 or by email at askcsid@fema.dhs.gov, Monday through Friday, 9:00 AM – 5:00 PM ET.

b. *Centralized Scheduling and Information Desk (CSID)*

CSID is a non-emergency comprehensive management and information resource developed by FEMA for grants stakeholders. CSID provides general information on all FEMA grant programs and maintains a comprehensive database containing key personnel contact information at the federal, state, and local levels. When necessary, recipients will be directed to a federal point of contact who can answer specific programmatic questions or concerns. CSID can be reached by phone at (800) 368-6498 or by e-mail at askcsid@fema.dhs.gov, Monday through Friday, 9 AM – 5 PM ET.

c. *GPD Award Administration Division*

GPD's Award Administration Division (AAD) provides support regarding financial matters and budgetary technical assistance. Additional guidance and information can be obtained by contacting the AAD's Help Desk via e-mail at ASK-GMD@fema.dhs.gov.

d. *Equal Rights*

The FEMA Office of Equal Rights (OER) is responsible for compliance with and enforcement of federal civil rights obligations in connection with programs and services conducted by FEMA and recipients of FEMA financial assistance. All inquiries and communications about federal civil rights compliance for FEMA grants under this NOFO should be sent to FEMA-CivilRightsOffice@fema.dhs.gov.

e. *Environmental Planning and Historic Preservation*

GPD's EHP Team provides guidance and information about the EHP review process to recipients and subrecipients. All inquiries and communications about GPD projects under this NOFO or the EHP review process, including the submittal of EHP review materials, should be sent to gpdehpinfo@fema.dhs.gov.

2. Systems Information

a. *Grants.gov*

For technical assistance with [Grants.gov](https://www.grants.gov), call the customer support hotline 24 hours per day, 7 days per week (except federal holidays) at (800) 518-4726 or e-mail at support@grants.gov.

b. *Non-Disaster (ND) Grants*

For technical assistance with the ND Grants system, please contact the ND Grants Helpdesk at ndgrants@fema.gov or (800) 865-4076, Monday through Friday, 9:00 AM – 6:00 PM ET. User resources are available at <https://www.fema.gov/grants/guidance-tools/non-disaster-grants-management-system>

c. *Payment and Reporting System (PARS)*

FEMA uses the [Payment and Reporting System \(PARS\)](#) for financial reporting, invoicing, and tracking payments. FEMA uses the Direct Deposit/Electronic Funds Transfer (DD/EFT) method of payment to recipients. To enroll in the DD/EFT, recipients must complete a Standard Form 1199A, Direct Deposit Form. If you have questions about the online system, please call the Customer Service Center at (866) 927-5646 or email ask-GMD@fema.dhs.gov.

d. *Supplemental Information: Reporting Systems*

In addition to ND Grants, the following information systems are used for the submission of required reports:

- **GRT:** Information on the GRT can be found in the [Preparedness Grants Manual](#).
- **Unified Reporting Tool (URT):** Information on the URT can be found in the [Preparedness Grants Manual](#).

H. Additional Information

GPD has developed the [Preparedness Grants Manual](#) to guide applicants and recipients of grant funding on how to manage their grants and other resources. Recipients seeking guidance on policies and procedures for managing preparedness grants should reference the Preparedness Grants Manual for further information. Examples of information contained in the [Preparedness Grants Manual](#) include:

- Actions to Address Noncompliance
- Audits
- Case Studies and Use of Grant-Funded Resources During Real-World Incident Operations
- Community Lifelines
- Conflicts of Interest in the Administration of Federal Awards and Subawards
- Disability Integration
- National Incident Management System
- Payment Information
- Period of Performance Extensions
- Procurement Integrity

- Record Retention
- Whole Community Preparedness
- Other Post-Award Requirements

1. Termination Provisions

FEMA may terminate a federal award in whole or in part for one of the following reasons. FEMA and the recipient must still comply with closeout requirements at 2 C.F.R. §§ 200.344-200.345 even if an award is terminated in whole or in part. To the extent that subawards are permitted under this NOFO, pass-through entities should refer to 2 C.F.R. § 200.340 for additional information on termination regarding subawards.

a. *Noncompliance*

If a recipient fails to comply with the terms and conditions of a federal award, FEMA may terminate the award in whole or in part. If the noncompliance can be corrected, FEMA may first attempt to direct the recipient to correct the noncompliance. This may take the form of a Compliance Notification. If the noncompliance cannot be corrected or the recipient is non-responsive, FEMA may proceed with a Remedy Notification, which could impose a remedy for noncompliance per 2 C.F.R. § 200.339, including termination. Any action to terminate based on noncompliance will follow the requirements of 2 C.F.R. §§ 200.341-200.342 as well as the requirement of 2 C.F.R. § 200.340(c) to report in FAPIIS the recipient's material failure to comply with the award terms and conditions. See also the section on Actions to Address Noncompliance in this NOFO or in the [Preparedness Grants Manual](#).

b. *With the Consent of the Recipient*

FEMA may also terminate an award in whole or in part with the consent of the recipient, in which case the parties must agree upon the termination conditions, including the effective date, and in the case of partial termination, the portion to be terminated.

c. *Notification by the Recipient*

The recipient may terminate the award, in whole or in part, by sending written notification to FEMA setting forth the reasons for such termination, the effective date, and in the case of partial termination, the portion to be terminated. In the case of partial termination, FEMA may determine that a partially terminated award will not accomplish the purpose of the federal award, so FEMA may terminate the award in its entirety. If that occurs, FEMA will follow the requirements of 2 C.F.R. §§ 200.341-200.342 in deciding to fully terminate the award.

2. Period of Performance Extensions

Extensions to the period of performance (POP) for this program are allowed. Extensions to the POP identified in the award will only be considered through formal, written requests to the recipient's FEMA Preparedness Officer and must contain specific and compelling justifications as to why an extension is required. Recipients are advised to coordinate with the FEMA Preparedness Officer as needed when preparing an extension request. Please see the [Preparedness Grants Manual](#) for more information.

DRAFT SCBTM RECOMMENDATION CHANGES TO BE REVIEWED BY NCHS 3/30/21

**Nevada Commission on Homeland Security
Strategic Capacities to be Maintained and
Emerging Capacities to be Considered in Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2021**

Amended FFY 2021 SCTBM/Emerging Strategic Capacity

Recommendation based on feedback from:

Committee on Finance Meeting on March 10, 2021

Nevada Resilience Advisory Committee Meeting on March 23, 2021.

Background

During 2018, the Nevada Commission on Homeland Security (NCHS) voted to approve changes to the Homeland Security Grant Program (HSGP). Previously, the NCHS members would vote to establish the five priority Core Capabilities from the Department of Homeland Security’s list of 32. These five priority Core Capabilities would drive the grant process for both grant streams under HSGP, the State Homeland Security Grant Program (SHSP) and the Urban Area Security Initiative (UASI).

Current Process

The current process requires the State Administrative Agent and the Urban Area Administrator to develop a list of Strategic Capacities to be Maintained (SCTBM) to recommend priorities for funding in the upcoming cycle. These strategic capacities were developed with input from the Nevada Resilience Advisory Committee (NRAC) and the Committee on Finance in anticipation of the FFY 2021 HSGP process.

Strategic Capacities Defined

A strategic capacity is defined as the outcome of a program or system developed by a Nevada jurisdiction that would have a significant negative effect on Nevada’s safety and stability if lost.

Strategic Capacities to be Maintained

The following nine SCTBM were approved by the NCHS on December 23, 2020, for maintenance in the FFY 2021 HSGP process and are not provided here in order of priority. The NRAC recommended the SCTBM for FFY 2021 on 12/14/20; and the Committee on Finance reviewed and approved the NRAC’s recommendation on 12/17/20. Subsequent review by both the Committee on Finance and NRAC in March 2021 has yielded a recommendation change to the Emerging Strategic Capacities for FFY21.

Strategic Capacity:	FUSION CENTERS – NO CHANGES
	<p>Programs:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Southern Nevada Counter Terrorism Center • Nevada Threat Analysis Center <p>Core Capabilities:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Intelligence and Information Sharing • Planning • Interdiction and Disruption • Screening, Search, and Detection

Strategic Capacity:	CITIZENS CORPS – NO CHANGES
	<p>Program(s):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • City of Las Vegas • Douglas County • Carson City • Washoe County • Elko County • Statewide Tribal <p>Core Capabilities:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Public Information and Warning • Mass Care • Search and Rescue • Operational Communication • Health and Social Services • Housing

Strategic Capacity:	NATIONAL INCIDENT MANAGEMENT SYSTEM – NO CHANGES
	<p>Program(s):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • State of Nevada DEM • Tribal NIMS <p>Core Capabilities:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Operational Coordination • Situational Assessment

Strategic Capacity:	CHEMICAL, BIOLOGICAL, RADIOLOGICAL, NUCLEAR, AND EXPLOSIVE (CBRNE) – SEE HIGHLIGHTED CHANGE
	<p>Program(s):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Tahoe-Douglas Bomb Squad • Elko Bomb Squad • Consolidated Bomb Squad (Washoe, Reno, and Sparks) • Las Vegas Bomb Squad • Las Vegas ARMOR • Urban/Rural Frontier HAZMAT (Triad, Quad, New Program in Eastern Nevada) <p>Core Capabilities:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Forensics and Attribution • Interdiction and Disruption • Public Health, Healthcare, and Emergency Medical Services • Urban Area Hazardous Materials Program (UASI)

Strategic Capacity:	OPERATIONAL COMMUNICATION – NO CHANGES
	<p>Program(s):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Statewide Interoperability Coordinator (SWIC) <p>Core Capabilities:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Operational Communication

Strategic Capacity:	PUBLIC INFORMATION AND WARNING – NO CHANGES
	<p>Program(s):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Emergency Alert System <p>Core Capabilities:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Planning • Operational Communication

Strategic Capacity:	RECOVERY – SEE HIGHLIGHTED CHANGE
	<p>Program(s):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Nevada Disaster Recovery Framework • Nevada Preliminary Disaster Assessment (PDA) Tool (shared with State, Local & Tribal) <p>Core Capabilities:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Community Resilience • Long-Term Vulnerability Reduction • Public Information and Warning • Operational Coordination • Infrastructure Systems • Critical Transportation • Environmental Response/Health and Safety • Fatality Management • Fire Management and Suppression • Logistics and Supply Chain Management • Mass Care Services • Mass Search and Rescue Operations • On-Scene Security, Protection, and Law Enforcement • Operational Communication • Public Health, Healthcare, and Emergency Medical Services • Situational Assessment • Planning

Strategic Capacity:	CYBER SECURITY – NO CHANGES
	<p>Program(s):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Incident Response Plan • Education and Awareness • Threat Identification <p>Core Capabilities:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Intelligence and Information Sharing • Forensics and Attribution • Planning • Access Control and Identity Verification • Physical Protective Measures • Supply Chain Integrity and Security • Risk and Disaster Resilience Assessment • Infrastructure Systems • Operational Communications • Training

Strategic Capacity:	PLANNING – NO CHANGES
	<p>Program(s):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Continuity of Operations ▪ Mass Fatality ▪ Community Resilience ▪ Metropolitan Medical Response System (UASI) <p>Core Capabilities:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Planning

Emerging Strategic Capacities

The NRAC recommended the original Emerging Strategic Capacity listed for FFY 2021 on 12/14/20; and the Committee on Finance reviewed and approved the NRAC’s original recommendation on 12/17/20. Due to the provisions of the FFY 2021 HSGP NOFO which came out after the capacities were approved by the NCHS on 12/23/20, the Committee on Finance met again on March 10, 2021, and determined that the Emerging Strategic Capacity of Election Security, which had been approved by the NCHS, was not a required investment priority within the FFY 2021 HSGP NOFO. Therefore, the Committee on Finance voted to eliminate the Election Security Emerging Strategic Capacity for FFY 2021. The NRAC was presented with Committee on Finance recommendation change on March 23, 2021 and had no further recommendation changes to the FFY 2021 SCTBM. Existing projects previously funded pertaining to Election Security may still be submitted for sustainment as competitive projects. The Emerging Strategic Capacity for Public Health, Healthcare, and Emergency Medical Services will remain and be defined during the FFY 2021 HSGP process. DEM will accept applications for this capacity and should the NCHS require that Election Security be placed back on the list of Emerging Strategic Capacities, stakeholders will be notified. The NCHS is scheduled to meet on March 30, 2021.

Emerging Strategic Capacity:	PUBLIC HEALTH, HEALTHCARE, AND EMERGENCY MEDICAL SERVICES - NEW
	<p>Program(s):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Need programs to add <p>Core Capabilities:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Need core capabilities to add